



PR 304 TO BERENS RIVER ALL-SEASON ROAD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX 6.2
Traditional Knowledge Study Documentation

August 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE COORDINATOR DUTIES

CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE SURVEY

TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE SURVEY RESULTS

- SURVEY RESULTS FOR TRAPPING ACTIVITY
- SURVEY RESULTS FOR HUNTING ACTIVITY
- SURVEY RESULTS FOR HUNTING WATERFOWL ACTIVITY
- SURVEY RESULTS FOR FISHING ACTIVITY
- SURVEY RESULTS FOR GENERAL WILDLIFE
- SURVEY RESULTS FOR CULTURAL AREAS

COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS

- COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS ON TRAPPING
- COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS ON HUNTING
- COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS ON HUNTING BIRDS
- COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS ON FISHING
- COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS ON OTHER IMPORTANT ISSUES



TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE COORDINATOR DUTIES

The following document outlines the responsibilities to be carried out by a Traditional Knowledge study coordinator working on the Manigotagan to Berens River all-weather road environmental impact statement (EIS) study for the East Side Road Authority.

Terms of this document shall form the Agreement between _____
(TK Coordinator)
and SNC-Lavalin (on behalf of the East Side Road Authority and Dan Highway) to conduct the work, as described, and as may be altered from time to time with the agreement of all parties.

1.0 Introduction and Overview of Project

SNC-Lavalin Inc. (SLI) together with AECOM, have been retained by the East Side Road Authority, a Crown corporation of the Government of Manitoba (ESRA), to undertake a coordinated federal/provincial environmental assessment and design for a proposed all-weather road to link Hwy 304 and communities up the east side of Lake Winnipeg (to Berens River) with an all-weather road.

As part of the environmental assessment the Project Team is identifying different route options from Bloodvein to Berens River and also collecting environmental baseline data (i.e., baseline studies for social, economic, cultural/heritage and biophysical elements). This information will be used to compare the different route options, select the preferred route, and identify potential environmental effects, including measures that have to be taken to minimize impacts.

First Nations culture and traditional land use activities are some of the most important factors to be considered when selecting a route and designing it. To get the information needed, a Traditional Knowledge (TK) study is being undertaken in the local First Nations communities. It is important that the TK studies are conducted within and by the community members themselves.

To make it work, we are hiring Traditional Knowledge (TK) Coordinators to conduct elder interviews, help other community members fill out survey forms, work with Project Team members to move information into and out of the community, and help with community meetings.

2.0 TK Coordinator Responsibilities

In general, the TK Coordinator will be responsible for conducting interviews and collecting TK data and helping organize community meetings.

The following sections provide more detail on the tasks required of the TK Coordinator.

2.1 Community Engagement

There are two rounds of community meetings proposed for the project. The first round of consultation is tentatively schedule for March/April 2009 and would involve community meetings to introduce the project, describe the EA process, show the route options under consideration and to start the TK study.

The second round of meetings will be used to provide information about the comparison of route options and how the preferred route was selected. Information on environmental aspects of the area and potential impacts will also be presented.

The first and second rounds of meetings will be held in the communities listed above. The TK Coordinator is expected to prepare for and be in attendance at all meetings in these communities, as they are scheduled by ESRA.

In addition to attending the community meetings, the TEK Coordinator will also be expected to do the following:

- Work with the Project Team to help identify an acceptable date for the community meetings, in consideration of community activities (e.g., fall hunt), the availability of community leadership, etc.;
- Ensure that a “Notice of Community Meeting” is clearly posted in each First Nations administration office, community store, etc., at least one week in advance of the meeting;
- Assist with meeting logistics:
 - Arrange in advance for a suitable meeting location in each community
 - Ensure the meeting room is unlocked and available to the team in advance of the formal meeting for set up of displays and equipment
 - Ensure the team is aware of what equipment is available in advance of the meeting, and ensure that any equipment being borrowed from the community (e.g. overhead projector) is available to the team in advance of the meeting for set-up
 - Work with the Project Team to have refreshments available
 - Take attendance (record who attended)

The Project Team will prepare the presentation displays and handout materials and will provide copies of working maps for the meetings. It is intended that available TK mapping will be presented at the second round of community meetings for review and mark-up by meeting attendees.

2.2 TK Data Collection

The TK Coordinator will be responsible for conducting TK activities in the communities listed above.

TK data is expected to be collected in the form of survey forms and marked-up maps.

Survey data is to be obtained in three ways:

- Elder interviews;
- Trapper (registered) survey forms; and
- Community drop-off survey forms.

Details are provided in the following paragraphs.

Community Elder Interviews

The TK Coordinator, in consultation with community leadership and the Project Team, is responsible for identifying community Elders for personal interview. It is suggested that individuals be selected using the following criteria:

- He/she is an elder recognized by the community for his/her significant knowledge of traditional lands and community culture
- He/she is a significant user of traditional lands and is recognized as a leader by community members for having this knowledge
- He/she is willing to be interviewed

Elders should be given the opportunity of taking the interview in English, Ojibway, Cree or Ojicree. Interview forms are to be filled in by the TK Coordinator in English.

Interviews are to be scheduled by the TK Coordinator at a time and place which is convenient for the Elder.

The following table outlines the number of Elder interviews to be conducted by TK Coordinator in each of the following communities (specific to each Coordinator):

Community	No. of Elder Interviews
Elder Interviews receiving a \$150.00 honorarium.	15

To ensure confidentiality of the individuals interviewed, all interviewees are to be assigned a random number for reference purposes within the report and will be required to sign a consent form before participating in the study. SLI will provide the interview Forms in addition to relevant maps.

All completed interview forms and marked-up maps are to be forwarded to Mr. Dan Highway. For the communities listed above, it is agreed that all interviews will be conducted by June 30, 2009 and all completed survey forms will be provided to Mr. Highway by June 30, 2009.

Trapper Survey Forms

The TK Coordinator together with the Project Team, will identify the head of each trapline registered to community members, and will provide a blank survey form to be filled out by that representative.

Trapline representatives will be given 2 weeks to complete the survey forms.

The TK Coordinator will help the trapline representatives complete the forms as necessary, including answering questions and providing assistance with translation into English.

Trapper survey forms completed must be completed by June 30 2009 will be forwarded to Mr. Dan Highway by June 30 2009. Survey forms will not be collected after this time.

Community	No. of Trapper Surveys
Pauingassi	15

Community Drop-off Survey

Survey forms provided by the Project Team similar to the interview forms will be developed by SLI. The forms are to be made available by the TEK Coordinator to any community member who wishes to provide information. To ensure maximum availability, it is suggested that blank forms be placed in several convenient locations (e.g. the community store; the Administration office). It is also suggested that completed forms be dropped off to a specific individual in the Administration Office for collection by the TEK Coordinator and/or his/her assistants. All completed forms should be submitted directly to SLI for processing and analysis.

The TEK Coordinator will be responsible for any follow-up interviews that may be required, plus addressing any questions that community members or leadership may have about the TEK data collection process. In addition, it is expected that the TEK Coordinator will attend the second round of community meetings, to be held early in the summer of 2009, to assist with verification of TEK data presented at those meetings.

3.0 Commercial Aspects

The TK Coordinator will report to Dan Highway, and will be paid by Dan Highway as follows:

- \$200 per community meeting (including preparation and attendance); to be paid in cash at each meeting.
- \$_____5000.00_____ to complete all interviews, assist community members with completion of the survey forms, collect all survey forms, and send them to Dan Highway; to be paid in accordance with submitted invoice (see Attachment).

Invoices are to be sent to Dan Highway for payment.

Expenses for travel, photocopying, courier, telephone, etc., are extra to the above, and will be paid in accordance with receipts submitted. The amounts claimed should be added to the invoice. All air travel must be previously approved by the Project Team representative.

An honorarium of \$150 will be paid to each Elder that participates in an interview. This amount is consistent with a number of other TK studies conducted recently across Canada.

An honorarium of \$150 will be paid to the head of each trapline following completion of the Trappers survey. Only one survey per trapline will be accepted and paid for.

Honorariums are to be invoiced with the fee and expenses. It will be the TK Coordinators responsibility to make final payment of all honorariums to interview/survey participants. Any discrepancy on amounts or form of payment will be the responsibility of the TK Coordinator.

4.0 Acceptance

I, _____, TK Coordinator for the communities listed
(print name of Coordinator)
in Section 2.0, agree to the terms outlined in this document.

Signed:

Date:

Witnessed:

Date:

(print name of witness)



**PR 304 To Berens River All-Season Road
Environmental Impact Assessment**

CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

Confidentiality Agreement – Use of Traditional Knowledge Information

Among:

EAST SIDE ROAD AUTHORITY INC.
a corporation wholly owned and controlled
by the Government of Manitoba
(“**ESRA**”)

-and-

(insert name of participant)
(the “**Participant**”)

-and-

(insert name of Community Coordinator)
(the “**Coordinator**”)

WHEREAS:

1. The Government of Manitoba created ESRA to undertake the East Side Road Project;
2. As part of the East Side Road Project, ESRA is undertaking Traditional Knowledge studies, collecting information from individuals in a written or verbal format;
3. ESRA and the Community Coordinator each recognize that the information provided by the Participant may be confidential, and as such will take steps to protect the confidentiality of the information;
4. The Participant agrees to participate in the Traditional Knowledge study, subject to the entering into of this Agreement;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, the parties agree as follows:

1. ESRA and the Community Coordinator acknowledge that the information provided by the Participant may include proprietary and confidential information including, but not limited to, local or community historic knowledge, ideas, perspectives, opinions, traditions, practices, values or belief systems not previously published or otherwise disclosed to the general public, or not normally furnished to third parties (the “**Confidential Information**”).
2. The Confidential Information provided by the Participant will be collected and held by ESRA, its officers, employees and agents and the Community Coordinator in confidence, and will not be published, revealed or disclosed to any other person.
3. The Confidential Information collected and held by ESRA, its officers, employees and agents and the Community Coordinator will be used only for the purposes of identifying strategic opportunities for developing a road network within the area east and north of Lake Winnipeg in the Province of Manitoba, including an Environmental Impact Assessment related to the construction of the road network between Provincial Road 304 (at Manigotagan) and Berens River, and for no other purpose.
4. The Participant acknowledges that use of the Confidential Information for the purpose described above will include the preparation of maps, statistical analysis and the preparation of a summary report. ESRA and the Community Coordinator will ensure that the use of the Confidential Information for this purpose will not include any identifiable information about the Participant, and that any resulting maps, analysis or reports will not contain any Confidential Information.

5. Once the Confidential Information has been used for the purpose described in this Agreement, ESRA and the Community Consultant agree that all copies of the Confidential Information will be forwarded to Doug Peterson, P.Eng, Vice-President of ESRA, who will arrange for the destruction of the Confidential Information.

The East Side Road Authority Inc. and the Community Coordinator agree to be bound by the terms of this Agreement, as witnessed by their signatures below:

For the East Side Road Authority Inc.

Doug Peterson, P.Eng.
Vice President

Date

For the Community Coordinator

Signature

Date

Print name of Community Coordinator

The Participant agrees to participate in the Traditional Knowledge study undertaken by ESRA, in accordance with this agreement, as witnessed by their consent below:

For the Participant:

Signature

Date

Print name



**PR 304 To Berens River All-Season Road
Environmental Impact Assessment**

TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE SURVEY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE SURVEY

This survey is intended to help the following communities gather Traditional Knowledge for the Environmental Impact Statement of the Provincial Road 304 to Berens River All Weather Road:

- *Berens River First Nation*
- *Hollow Water First Nation*
- *Bloodvein First Nation*
- *Little Grand Rapids First Nation*
- *Pauingassi First Nation*
- *Poplar River First Nation*

All of the individual responses are classified as confidential and the information provided will be tabulated on a community basis. The consultants will not use this survey for any other purpose without the permission of the communities involved.

A. GENERAL DATA

1.	Date and time of interview:	Follow up interview date (if any)		
	a) Date	Date		
	2009 ____ - ____ YR \ MO \ DY	2009 ____ - ____ YR \ MO \ DY		
	b) Start time:			
	c) Finish time:			
2.	Interviewer:			
3.	Resident/trapper Name:	_____ Last	_____ First	_____ Middle Initial
4.	Address:			
5.	Signature of Interviewer:			

B. TRAP LINES

1. Do you have a registered trap line? If yes, please indicate registration number.

Number _____

2. If “yes”, show on the **“Trapping”** map (provided) where your trap line(s) are located? Please give each Area a separate number (e.g. Trap Area1; Trap Area 2).

3. Do you trap the community grounds or help with other registered traplines?

If “yes”, show on the Trapping map.

4. Do you do any short trip or day trapping? Yes _____ No_____

5. Please show these short trip areas on the **“Trapping”** map (provided). Give each Area a separate number (e.g. Short Trip Area 1).

6. How long have you (or your family) been trapping these lines/areas?

Trap Area 1	_____	years
Trap Area 2	_____	years
Trap Area 3	_____	years
Short Trip trap Area 1	_____	years
Short Trip Trap Area 2	_____	years
Short Trip Trap Area 3	_____	years

7. Please list any animals that you (or your family) trap in the table below. For each type of animal that you (or your family) trap listed below, please indicate the minimum and maximum numbers normally taken each year by Area. Also show the importance of the animal to your income using the following scale (1-3 not financially significant, 4-6 significant, 7-10 very significant)

Trap Area 1.

Animal	Number Harvested Min/Max	Importance

Trap Area 2.

Animal	No. Taken (Min/Max)	Importance

Trap Area 3.

Animal	No. Taken (Min/Max)	Importance

Short Trip Trap Area 1.

Animal	No. Taken (Min/Max)	Importance

Short Trip Trap Area 2.

Animal	No. Taken (Min/Max)	Importance

Short Trip Trap Area 3.

Animal	No. Taken (Min/Max)	Importance

8. What months of the year are the busiest for trapping in each Area?

Trap Area 1 _____

Trap Area 2 _____

Trap Area 3 _____

Short Trip Trap Area 1 _____

Short Trip Trap Area 2 _____

Short Trip Trap Area 3 _____

9. How often do you trap in these areas?

Trap Area 1 _____

Trap Area 2 _____

Trap Area 3 _____

Short Trip Trap Area 1 _____

Short Trip Trap Area 2 _____

Short Trip Trap Area 3 _____

10. Do you think that any of the route options will affect your trap lines?

11. Do you think the road will make it harder to get the same numbers of animals as you usually get?

Trap Area 1	Yes	_____	No	_____
Trap Area 2	Yes	_____	No	_____
Trap Area 3	Yes	_____	No	_____
Short Trip Trap Area 1	Yes	_____	No	_____
Short Trip Trap Area 2	Yes	_____	No	_____
Short Trip Trap Area 3	Yes	_____	No	_____

12. What other effects do you think the new road will have on your trap lines? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	Less animals to trap	<input type="checkbox"/>	More animals to trap	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disrupted migration of animals leading to less amount of animals to trap	<input type="checkbox"/>	Habitat destruction leading to less animals to trap	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of traditional practices and language due to less trapping
<input type="checkbox"/>	New access to trap lines for others to use	<input type="checkbox"/>	New hunting area for the community to explore	<input type="checkbox"/>	New or more animals resulting in more animals to trap	<input type="checkbox"/>	Increased accidents between road users and animals resulting in less animals to hunt (fatalities)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Increased participation / interest in trapping

List any other effects not mentioned in the table:

13. What are some ways to reduce these effects? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

(✓)	Mitigation	(✓)	Mitigation	(✓)	Mitigation
	Provide road patrolling		Control road users by establishing control point offices along the road way		Implement local and provincial legislation with bans on road use to those other than the community
	Provide road blocks where access to trap lines begins		Ensure road construction and operation is implemented in an environmentally responsible way		Other (please indicate)

14. When do you think most of the impacts will happen?

a. Construction Activities:

b. Operation:

c. Other Comments/Opinions:

C. Hunting (Moose, Caribou, Small game)

15. What herd(s) of caribou do you hunt?

What are the migration patterns of the caribou which you hunt?

Show where you hunt for caribou on the **“Caribou Hunting”** map and migration patterns (provided) and give each area a number (e.g. “Caribou Hunt Area 1”).

16. What herd(s) of moose do you hunt?

What are the general migration patterns of the moose which you hunt?

Show where you hunt moose on the “Moose Hunting” map and migration patterns (provided) and give each area a number (e.g. “Moose Hunt Area 1”).

17. Do you know where the moose concentrate in the winter?

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

18. **If in the area**, show these areas on the “Moose Hunting” map provided (circle the Area and give it a number e.g. Moose Winter Area 1; Moose Winter Area 2). It is recognized that these Areas may be the same as the Moose Hunt Areas you have marked. If so, please label the same Area twice.

19. Do you know where the caribou concentrate in the winter?

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

20. **If in the area**, shown these areas on the “Caribou Hunting” map provided (circle the Area and give it a number e.g. Caribou Winter Area 1; Caribou Winter Area 2). It is recognized that these Areas may be the same as the Caribou Hunt Areas you have marked. If so, please label the same Area twice.

21. Do you know where the caribou concentrate in the summer?

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

22. **If in the area**, shown these areas on the “Caribou Hunting” map provided (circle the Area and give it a number e.g. Caribou Summer Area 1; Caribou Summer Area 2). It is recognized that these Areas may be the same as the Caribou Hunt Areas you have marked. If so, please label the same Area twice.

23. Do you know where caribou calving areas are?

24. Do you know where moose calving areas are?

25. What type of small game do you hunt?

Show where you hunt small game on the “**Small Game Hunting**” map (provided) and give each area a number (e.g. “Small Game Hunt Area 1”).

26. For Moose and Caribou please indicate the numbers normally taken each year and from which herd in each Area in the following table:

Area	Herd	Number Taken
Moose Hunt Area 1		
Moose Hunt Area 2		
Moose Hunt Area 3		
Caribou Hunt Area 1		
Caribou Hunt Area 2		
Caribou Hunt Area 3		

27. For **Small Mammals** please indicate the numbers normally taken each year in each Area in the following table. Also show the importance of the animal to your income using the following scale (1-3 not financially significant, 4-6 significant, 7-10 very significant)

Small Game Hunt Area 1.

Animal	Number Taken	Importance

Small Game Hunt Area 2.

Animal	Number Taken	Importance

Small Game Hunt Area 3.

Animal	Number Taken	Importance

28. What months of the year do you do most of your hunting and how often?

Moose Hunt Area 1: _____

Moose Hunt Area 2: _____

Moose Hunt Area 3: _____

Caribou Hunt Area 1: _____

Caribou Hunt Area 2: _____

Caribou Hunt Area 3: _____

Small Game Hunt Area 1: _____

Small Game Hunt Area 2: _____

Small Game Hunt Area 3: _____

29. Do you think that any of the route options will affect hunting in any of these areas?

Moose Hunt Area 1	Yes _____	No _____
Moose Hunt Area 2	Yes _____	No _____
Moose Hunt Area 3	Yes _____	No _____

Caribou Hunt Area 1 Yes _____ No _____
 Caribou Hunt Area 2 Yes _____ No _____
 Caribou Hunt Area 3 Yes _____ No _____

Small Game Hunt Area 1 Yes _____ No _____
 Small Game Hunt Area 2 Yes _____ No _____
 Small Game Hunt Area 3 Yes _____ No _____

30. What effects do you think the new road will have on your hunting areas? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	Impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	Less amount of animals to hunt	<input type="checkbox"/>	More amount of animals to hunt	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disrupted migration of animals leading to less amount of animals to hunt	<input type="checkbox"/>	Habitat destruction leading to less animals to hunt	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of traditional practices and language due to less hunting
<input type="checkbox"/>	New access to hunting areas for others to use	<input type="checkbox"/>	New hunting areas for the community to explore	<input type="checkbox"/>	In-migration of new or more animals resulting in more animals to hunt	<input type="checkbox"/>	Increased accidents between road users and animals resulting in less animals to hunt (fatalities)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Increased participation / interest in hunting

List any other effects not mentioned in the table:

31. What are some ways to reduce these effects? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Mitigation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mitigation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mitigation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Provide road patrolling	<input type="checkbox"/>	Control road users by establishing control point offices along the road way	<input type="checkbox"/>	Implement local and provincial legislation with bans on road use to those other than the community
<input type="checkbox"/>	Provide road blocks where access to hunting areas begin	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ensure road construction and operation is implemented in an environmentally responsible way	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please indicate)

32. When do you think most of the impacts will happen?

a. Construction Activities:

b. Operation:

c. Other Comments/Opinions:

D. Hunting Birds

33. Show on the map where you normally hunt for geese, ducks and other game birds (circle each Area you hunt on the “Waterfowl Hunting” map provided and each Area a number (e.g. Bird Hunt Area 1).

List the type of birds you hunt in these areas and numbers normally taken each year in the following table. Also show the importance of the animal to your income using the following scale (1-3 not financially significant, 4-6 significant, 7-10 very significant)

Bird	Area 1	Number	Area 2	Number	Area 3	Number	Importance

34. What months of the year do you do most of your hunting in each Area and how often?

Bird Hunt Area 1

Bird Hunt Area 2

Bird Hunt Area 3

35. Do you think that any of the route options will affect bird hunting in any of these areas?

Bird Hunting Area 1	Yes _____	No _____
Bird Hunting Area 2	Yes _____	No _____
Bird Hunting Area 3	Yes _____	No _____

36. What effects do you think the new road will have on your bird hunting areas? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Impact
	Less amount of birds and waterfowl to hunt		More amount of birds and waterfowl to hunt		Disrupted migration of birds and waterfowl leading to less amount of animals to hunt		Habitat destruction leading to less birds and waterfowl to hunt		Loss of traditional practices and language due to less hunting
	New access to hunting areas for others to use		New hunting areas for the community to explore		In-migration of new or more birds and waterfowl resulting in more animals to hunt		Increased accidents between road users and birds and waterfowl resulting in less to birds and waterfowl to hunt (fatalities)		Increased participation / interest in hunting

List any other effects not mentioned in the table:

37. What are some ways to reduce these effects? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mitigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mitigation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mitigation
	Provide road patrolling		Control road users by establishing control point offices along the road way		Implement local and provincial legislation with bans on road use to those other than the community
	Provide road blocks where access to hunting areas begin		Ensure road construction and operation is implemented in an environmentally responsible way		Other (please indicate)

38. When do you think most of the impacts will happen?

a. Construction Activities:

b. Operation:

c. Other Comments/Opinions:

E. Fishing

39. Show on the "Fishing" map (provided) the rivers/streams, ponds/lakes and coastal areas that you fish (circle each area and give each Area a number (i.e. Fish Area 1; Fish Area 2, Fish Area 3).

40. List the types of fish you normally catch in each area and the numbers you usually catch in one year (for each area separately). Also show the importance of the animal to your trapping income using the following scale (1-3 not financially significant, 4-6 significant, 7-10 very significant)

Fish	Area 1	No.	Area 2	No.	Area 3	No.	Importance

41. What months of the year do you do most of your fishing in each Area?

Fishing Area 1 _____

Fishing Area 2 _____

Fishing Area 3 _____

42. Do you fish different species at different times of the year?

Fishing Area 1 _____

Fishing Area 2 _____

Fishing Area 3 _____

43. What effects do you think the new road will have on your fishing hunting areas? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

(✓)	Impact	(✓)	Impact	(✓)	Impact	(✓)	Impact	(✓)	Impact
	Less fish		More fish		Disrupted migration of animals preying on fish which leads to larger amount of fish to hunt		Habitat destruction leading to less fish species to hunt		Loss of traditional practices and language due to less fishing
	New access to fishing area for others to use		New fishing areas for the community to explore		In-migration of new animals resulting in less fish		Potentially contaminated water sources killing fish and poisoning other animals you hunt / trap		Increased participation / interest in fishing

List any other effects not mentioned in the table:

44. What are some ways to reduce these effects? (Use table below and check any of the boxes which applies):

(✓)	Mitigation	(✓)	Mitigation	(✓)	Mitigation
	Provide road patrolling		Control road users by establishing control point offices along the road way		Implement local and provincial legislation with bans on road use to those other than the community
	Provide road blocks where access to hunting areas begin		Ensure road construction and operation is implemented in an environmentally responsible way		Other (please indicate)

45. When do you think most of the impacts will happen?

a. Construction Activities:

b. Operation:

c. Other Comments/Opinions:

46. Do you know of any spawning areas (where fish lay eggs) on these rivers? Please show on the "Fishing" map (provided), giving each Area a number (i.e. Spawn Area 1; Spawn Area 2).

47. List the types of fish that spawn in each area, and provide the time of the year each type of fish spawns (e.g. Brook trout – spring).

Spawn Area 1

Spawn Area 2

Spawn Area 3

48. Do you think any of the route options will affect fishing spawn areas in the Areas you fish? What problems would you expect (list separately for each Fish Spawn Area)?

49. When do you think most of the impacts will happen?

a. Construction Activities:

b. Operation:

c. Other Comments/Opinions:

F. General Wildlife Information

50. Do you know where there are lynx, wolves, bears, other predator animals?

Lynx	Yes _____	No _____	None in the area _____
Wolves	Yes _____	No _____	None in the area _____
Bears	Yes _____	No _____	None in the area _____
Other _____	Yes _____	No _____	None in the area _____
_____	Yes _____	No _____	None in the area _____
_____	Yes _____	No _____	None in the area _____

a. Do you think that the new road will affected predator travel routes; are you seeing lynx, wolves, and bears in different areas?

b. If yes, how do you think this will affect your hunting/ trapping?

51. If you know of any of these animals in the area, please shown these areas on the "Hunting" map (provided). Please label according to animal type (e.g. wolf Area 1; Lynx Area 1, etc). Note we do not intend to label these areas for hunting purposes. It is only convenient to use these maps for the survey.

52. Do you know of any eagle, hawk, osprey, falcon, owl, herron, or other such bird nests in the area?

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

Please show nest locations on the "**Hunting**" map (provided). Please label by bird type (e.g. Eagle Nest 1; Osprey Nest 2; Herron Nest 1). *Note we do not intent to label these areas for hunting purposes. It is only convenient to use these maps for the survey*

53. How you think the new road will affect the existing environment?

54. What problems would you expect?

G. Cultural Areas

55. Do you know of any burial grounds or historical settlement/camp areas within the project's study area?

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

Please mark these areas on the "**Grave/Spiritual Sites**" map (provided). Please circle and label as to the type of site (e.g. Grave; meeting place; spiritual area). If already shown on the map, please do not add, simply label.

56. Do you know of any medicinal areas within the project's study area?

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

Please show these areas on the "**Special Gathering**" map (provided). Even if the area is already mapped, please circle and label as "Medicine Area 1", etc.

57. Do you or your family gather berries or other fruits/plants (other than medicine) within close proximity to any of the route options?

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

Please show these areas on the "**Berry Gathering**" map (provided), and indicate the type of plant/fruit you gather at that location. Even if the Area is already mapped, please circle and label as "Berry Area 1", etc.

58. Do you know of any other areas, other than those you have already shown, that we should avoid for any reason? For example, orchids.

Yes _____ No _____ Not in the area _____

Circle these areas on the "**All Themes**" map (provided) and give each Area a number (e.g. Special Area 1; Special Area 2).

For each Special Area identified in Questions above, provide the reason for identifying these as places to avoid.

Special Area 1.

Special Area 2.

Special Area 3.

Other Important Issues

59. Do you believe that the new road will affect your access or access by others to your areas of interest/ traditional areas? If yes, how (benefits and disadvantages).

60. Is there any other information you would like to share with us that will help us to understand how the new road might affect you, your community or the surrounding environment?

Thank you.

The following is a list of the maps that were included for each community survey:

- Map 1: Trapping
- Map 2: Caribou Moose Small Game
- Map 3: Waterfowl
- Map 4: Fishing
- Map 5: Burial Spiritual Areas
- Map 6: Special Gathering Areas
- Map 7: Berry Gathering Areas
- Map 8: Other Noteworthy Features

These maps will be included in the final document.



TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE SURVEY RESULTS



Survey Results for Trapping Activity

Table 6.5A: Summary of Trapping Activities by Community with the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Actively Trap	Registered trap line (Y)	Trap on community grounds (Y)	Help with other registered trap lines (Y)	Short Trip (day trapping) (Y)	Length of time trapping in areas (yrs)	Beaver	Imp	Marten	Imp	Mink	Imp	Muskrat	Imp	Otter	Imp	Weasel	Imp	Rabbit
Poplar River First Nation																			
Summary	36	36	1	1	22														
total							2073		1358		234		2588		368		191		52
% of total	18.56%	18.56%	0.52%	0.52%	11.34%														
average						58	56		57		17		74		17		27		17
min						1	1		2		2		1		1		1		6
max						125	200		350		80		312		70		50		40
# of respondents trapping this animal						31	37		24		14		35		22		7		3
% of respondents trapping this animal						15.98%	19.07%		12.37%		7.22%		18.04%		11.34%		3.61%		1.55%
importance																			
count of respondents									24		16		8		24		14		2
very significant (7-10)									21		12		7		21		14		2
significant (4-6)									2		2		0		3		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)									1		1		1		0		0		0
Berens River First Nation																			
Summary	12	12	0	1	11														
total							1007		1429		182		4		145		4		0
% of total	6.19%	6.19%	0.00%	0.52%	5.67%														
average						12	84		119		30		4		29		4		0
min						4	10		15		4		4		5		4		0
max						25	320		210		90		4		60		4		0
# of respondents trapping this animal						6	12		12		6		1		5		1		0
% of respondents trapping this animal						3.09%	6.19%		6.19%		3.09%		0.52%		2.58%		0.52%		0%
importance																			
count of respondents									11		11		5		0		5		0
very significant (7-10)									6		8		1		0		0		0
significant (4-6)									3		2		2		0		2		0
not financially significant (1-3)									2		1		2		0		3		0
Bloodvein First Nation																			
Summary	14	13	5	8	13														
total							1113		750		133		1004		147		20		20
% of total	7.22%	6.70%	2.58%	4.12%	6.70%														
average						13	86		150		27		126		18		20		10
min						1	5		70		5		30		5		20		10
max						40	210		250		50		400		60		20		10
# of respondents trapping this animal						16	13		5		5		8		8		1		2
% of respondents trapping this animal						8.25%	6.70%		2.58%		2.58%		4.12%		4.12%		0.52%		1.03%
importance																			
count of respondents									10		5		5		7		7		1
very significant (7-10)									10		5		5		7		7		1
significant (4-6)									0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)									0		0		0		0		0		0
Little Grand Rapids First Nation																			
Summary	27	26	2	1	25														
total							425		230		117		447		5		20		0
% of total	13.92%	13.40%	1.03%	0.52%	12.89%														
average						0	20		33		11		30		5		20		0
min						0	5		2		3		4		5		20		0
max						0	50		150		20		100		5		20		0
# of respondents trapping this animal						0	21		7		11		15		1		1		0
% of respondents trapping this animal						0%	10.82%		3.61%		5.67%		7.73%		0.52%		0.52%		0%

To be continued

Table 6.5A: Summary of Trapping Activities by Community with the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Actively Trap	Registered trap line (Y)	Trap on community grounds (Y)	Help with other registered trap lines (Y)	Short Trip (day trapping) (Y)	Length of time trapping in areas (yrs)	Beaver	Imp	Marten	Imp	Mink	Imp	Muskrat	Imp	Otter	Imp	Weasel	Imp	Rabbit
Importance																			
count of respondents								0		0		0		0		0		0	
very significant (7-10)								0		0		0		0		0		0	
significant (4-6)								0		0		0		0		0		0	
not financially significant (1-3)								0		0		0		0		0		0	
Paingassi First Nation																			
Summary	14	14	2	0	17														
total							1370		543		140		265		158		0		0
% of total	7.22%	7.22%	1.03%	0.00%	8.76%														
average						19	69		34		12		88		7		0		0
min						5	28		4		2		55		3		0		0
max						50	109		80		23		150		20		0		0
# of respondents trapping this animal						22	20		16		12		3		23		0		0
% of respondents trapping this animal						11.34%	10.31%		8.25%		6.19%		1.55%		11.86%		0%		0%
Importance																			
count of respondents								20		16		12		3		23		0	
very significant (7-10)								0		0		0		0		0		0	
significant (4-6)								20		16		12		3		22		0	
not financially significant (1-3)								0		0		0		0		1		0	
Hollow Water First Nation																			
Summary	13	13	14	14	17														
total							1142		724		215		904		257		61		20
% of total	6.70%	6.70%	7.22%	7.22%	8.76%														
average						36	54		48		18		60		16		20		20
min						1	1		1		2		1		2		5		20
max						250	220		180		66		325		50		50		20
# of respondents trapping this animal						23	21		15		12		15		16		3		1
% of respondents trapping this animal						11.86%	10.82%		7.73%		6.19%		7.73%		8.25%		1.55%		0.52%
Importance																			
count of respondents								14		11		9		8		14		3	
very significant (7-10)								3		6		2		3		1		1	
significant (4-6)								8		2		3		3		9		0	
not financially significant (1-3)								3		2		3		2		4		2	
All Communities																			
Summary	116	114	24	25	105														
total							7130		5034		1021		5212		1080		296		92
% of total	59.79%	58.76%	12.37%	12.89%	54.12%														
average						34	58		64		17		68		14		23		15
min						1	1		1		2		1		1		1		6
max						250	320		350		90		400		70		50		40
# of respondents trapping this animal						98	124		79		60		77		75		13		6
% of respondents trapping this animal						50.52%	63.92%		40.72%		30.93%		39.69%		38.66%		6.70%		3.09%
Importance																			
count of respondents								79		59		39		42		63		6	
very significant (7-10)								40		31		15		31		22		4	
significant (4-6)								33		22		17		9		33		0	
not financially significant (1-3)								6		4		6		2		8		2	

To be continued

Table 6.5A: Summary of Trapping Activities by Community with the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Imp	Fisher	Imp	Wolf	Imp	Fox	Imp	Lynx	Imp	Squirrel	Imp	Moose	Imp	Rat	Imp	Woverine	Imp	Grouse	Imp
Poplar River First Nation																			
Summary																			
total		388		20		112		172		146		2		10		2		35	
% of total																			
average		22		3		7		7		29		2		10		2		35	
min		1		2		1		1		3		2		10		2		35	
max		115		4		41		25		50		2		10		2		35	
# of respondents trapping this animal		18		6		16		25		5		1		1		1		1	
% of respondents trapping this animal		9.28%		3.09%		8.25%		12.89%		2.58%		0.52%		0.52%		0.52%		0.52%	
Importance																			
count of respondents		3		9		3		8		16		2		1		1		0	
very significant (7-10)		3		8		2		6		12		1		1		0		0	
significant (4-6)		0		1		0		1		2		0		0		0		0	
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		1		1		2		0		0		1		0	
Berens River First Nation																			
Summary																			
total		20		0		12		20		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																			
average		20		0		12		20		0		0		0		0		0	
min		20		0		12		20		0		0		0		0		0	
max		20		0		12		20		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal		1		0		1		1		0		0		0		0		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal		0.52%		0%		0.52%		0.52%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
Importance																			
count of respondents		0		1		0		1		1		0		0		0		0	
very significant (7-10)		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		1		1		0		0		0		0	
Bloodvein First Nation																			
Summary																			
total		43		8		168		216		20		7		0		0		0	
% of total																			
average		9		8		28		27		20		2		0		0		0	
min		1		8		5		8		20		1		0		0		0	
max		16		8		80		80		20		4		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal		5		1		6		8		1		3		0		0		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal		2.58%		0.52%		3.09%		4.12%		0.52%		1.55%		0%		0%		0%	
Importance																			
count of respondents		0		4		1		6		7		1		0		0		0	
very significant (7-10)		0		4		1		6		7		1		0		0		0	
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Little Grand Rapids First Nation																			
Summary																			
total		5		0		5		32		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																			
average		5		0		3		5		0		0		0		0		0	
min		5		0		2		2		0		0		0		0		0	
max		5		0		3		10		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal		1		0		2		6		0		0		0		0		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal		0.52%		0%		1.03%		3.09%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	

To be continued

Table 6.5A: Summary of Trapping Activities by Community with the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Imp	Fisher	Imp	Wolf	Imp	Fox	Imp	Lynx	Imp	Squirrel	Imp	Moose	Imp	Rat	Imp	Woverine	Imp	Grouse	Imp
Importance																			
count of respondents	0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)	0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Pauingassi First Nation																			
Summary																			
total		196		4		5		146		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																			
average		10		4		3		8		0		0		0		0		0	
min		4		4		2		2		0		0		0		0		0	
max		15		4		3		20		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal		19		1		2		19		0		0		0		0		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal		9.79%		0.52%		1.03%		9.79%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
Importance																			
count of respondents	0		20		0		1		19		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)	0		1		0		0		1		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)	0		19		0		1		18		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Hollow Water First Nation																			
Summary																			
total		97		14		84		123		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																			
average		11		4		11		10		0		0		0		0		0	
min		3		2		2		1		0		0		0		0		0	
max		25		6		18		30		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal		9		4		8		12		0		0		0		1		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal		4.64%		2.06%		4.12%		6.19%		0%		0%		0%		0.52%		0%	
Importance																			
count of respondents	1		8		3		6		14		1		0		0		1		0
very significant (7-10)	1		3		0		1		4		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)	0		2		0		1		6		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)	0		2		3		4		4		1		0		0		1		0
All Communities																			
Summary																			
total		749		46		386		709		166		9		10		2		35	
% of total																			
average		14		4		11		10		28		2		10		1		35	
min		1		2		1		1		3		1		10		0		35	
max		115		8		80		80		50		4		10		2		35	
# of respondents trapping this animal		53		12		35		71		6		4		1		2		1	
% of respondents trapping this animal		27.32%		6.19%		18.04%		36.60%		3.09%		2.06%		0.52%		1.03%		0.52%	
Importance																			
count of respondents	4		43		7		22		57		4		1		1		1		1
very significant (7-10)	4		17		3		13		24		2		1		0		0		1
significant (4-6)	0		23		0		3		26		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)	0		2		4		6		7		1		0		1		1		0



Survey Results for Hunting Activity



Table 6.5B: Summary of Large and Small Game Hunting by Communities within the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Actively Hunt Moose, Caribou or Small Game	Number of Moose Taken	Number of Caribou Taken	Marten	Imp	Mink	Imp	Goose	Imp	Ducks	Imp	Grouse	Imp	Rabbit	Imp	Beaver	Imp
Poplar River First Nation																	
Summary	40																
total		99	8	554		66		4		7		94		198		389	
% of total	20.62%																
average		3	2	31		8		2		4		13		15		35	
min		1	1	5		4		1		3		2		3		2	
max		20	2	100		20		3		4		35		35		100	
# of respondents trapping this animal		38	5	18		8		2		2		7		13		11	
% of respondents trapping this animal		19.59%	2.58%	9.28%		4.12%		1.03%		1.03%		3.61%		6.70%		5.67%	
Importance																	
count of respondents					14		5		0		0		3		5		6
very significant (7-10)					10		3		0		0		2		4		5
significant (4-6)					4		1		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)					13		4		0		0		3		5		6
Berens River First Nation																	
Summary	15																
total		71	0	0		0		12		0		0		12		0	
% of total	7.73%																
average		5	0	0		0		12		0		0		12		0	
min		1	0	0		0		12		0		0		12		0	
max		19	0	0		0		12		0		0		12		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal		13	0	0		0		1		0		0		1		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal		6.70%	0%	0%		0%		0.52%		0%		0%		0.52%		0%	
Importance																	
count of respondents					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Bloodvein First Nation																	
Summary	22																
total		101	2	0		0		0		0		0		677		6	
% of total	11.34%																
average		5	1	0		0		0		0		0		36		3	
min		1	0	0		0		0		0		0		2		2	
max		30	2	0		0		0		0		0		200		4	
# of respondents trapping this animal		21	2	0		0		0		0		0		19		2	
% of respondents trapping this animal		10.82%	1.03%	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		9.79%		1.03%	
Importance																	
count of respondents					0		0		0		0		0		19		1
very significant (7-10)					0		0		0		0		0		18		1
significant (4-6)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)					0		0		0		0		0		1		0
Little Grand Rapids First Nation																	
Summary	29																
total		143	0	0		5		0		0		0		426		398	
% of total	14.95%																
average		5	0	0		5		0		0		0		27		19	
min		1	0	0		5		0		0		0		4		2	
max		30	0	0		5		0		0		0		80		60	
# of respondents trapping this animal		28	0	0		1		0		0		0		16		21	

To be continued



Table 6.5B: Summary of Large and Small Game Hunting by Communities within the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Actively Hunt Moose, Caribou or Small Game	Number of Moose Taken	Number of Caribou Taken	Marten	Imp	Mink	Imp	Goose	Imp	Ducks	Imp	Grouse	Imp	Rabbit	Imp	Beaver	Imp
% of respondents trapping this animal		14.43%	0%	0%		0.52%		0%		0%		0%		8.25%		10.82%	
Importance																	
count of respondents					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Paingassi First Nation																	
Summary		20															
total		57	7	0		0		0		0		0		1083		80	
% of total		10.31%															
average		3	2	0		0		0		0		0		54		80	
min		1	1	0		0		0		0		0		25		80	
max		9	3	0		0		0		0		0		170		80	
# of respondents trapping this animal		19	3	0		0		0		0		0		20		1	
% of respondents trapping this animal		9.79%	1.55%	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		10.31%		0.52%	
Importance																	
count of respondents					0		0		0		0		0		20		1
very significant (7-10)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)					0		0		0		0		0		2		1
not financially significant (1-3)					0		0		0		0		0		18		0
Hollow Water First Nation																	
Summary		24															
total		41	0	42		5		0		0		0		110		17	
% of total		12.37%															
average		2	0	11		5		0		0		0		11		9	
min		1	0	2		5		0		0		0		9		7	
max		5	0	15		5		0		0		0		20		10	
# of respondents trapping this animal		18	0	4		1		0		0		0		10		2	
% of respondents trapping this animal		9.28%	0%	2.06%		0.52%		0%		0%		0%		5.15%		1.03%	
Importance																	
count of respondents					4		1		0		0		0		5		2
very significant (7-10)					1		0		0		0		0		5		1
significant (4-6)					3		1		0		0		0		0		1
not financially significant (1-3)					0		0		0		0		0		0		0
All Communities																	
Summary		150															
total		512	17	596		76		16		7		94		2506		890	
% of total		77.32%															
average		4	2	27		8		5		4		13		32		24	
min		1	0	2		4		1		3		2		2		2	
max		30	3	100		20		12		4		35		200		100	
# of respondents trapping this animal		137	10	22		10		3		2		7		79		37	
% of respondents trapping this animal		70.62%	5.15%	11.34%		5.15%		1.55%		1.03%		3.61%		40.72%		19.07%	
Importance																	
count of respondents					18		6		0		0		3		49		10
very significant (7-10)					11		3		0		0		2		27		7
significant (4-6)					7		2		0		0		0		2		2
not financially significant (1-3)					0		1		0		0		0		19		1

To be continued

Table 6.5B: Summary of Large and Small Game Hunting by Communities within the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Muskrat	Imp	Otter	Imp	Weasel	Imp	Squirrel	Imp	Fox	Imp	Lynx	Imp	Fisher	Imp	Rat	Imp
Poplar River First Nation																
Summary																
total	734		125		75		6		18		12		30		0	
% of total																
average	32		14		13		6		6		4		30		0	
min	2		2		2		6		4		4		30		0	
max	300		75		50		6		8		4		30		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	23		9		6		1		3		3		1		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal	11.86%		4.64%		3.09%		0.52%		1.55%		1.55%		0.52%		0%	
Importance																
count of respondents		16		7		3		0		1		3		2		0
very significant (7-10)		11		7		3		0		0		2		2		0
significant (4-6)		3		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)		16		7		3		0		1		3		2		0
Berens River First Nation																
Summary																
total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
min	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
max	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
Importance																
count of respondents		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Bloodvein First Nation																
Summary																
total	32		2		30		10		0		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	16		2		30		10		0		0		0		0	
min	2		2		30		10		0		0		0		0	
max	30		2		30		10		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	2		1		1		1		0		0		0		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal	1.03%		0.52%		0.52%		0.52%		0%		0%		0.00%		0.00%	
Importance																
count of respondents		1		0		1		1		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)		1		0		1		1		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Little Grand Rapids First Nation																
Summary																
total	546		0		0		0		11		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	30		0		0		0		6		0		0		0	
min	5		0		0		0		5		0		0		0	
max	100		0		0		0		6		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	18		0		0		0		2		0		0		0	

To be continued

Table 6.5B: Summary of Large and Small Game Hunting by Communities within the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Muskrat	Imp	Otter	Imp	Weasel	Imp	Squirrel	Imp	Fox	Imp	Lynx	Imp	Fisher	Imp	Rat	Imp
% of respondents trapping this animal	9.28%		0%		0%		0%		1.03%		0%		0.00%		0.00%	
Importance																
count of respondents		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Paungassi First Nation																
Summary																
total	885		0		0		9		0		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	49		0		0		9		0		0		0		0	
min	15		0		0		9		0		0		0		0	
max	150		0		0		9		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	18		0		0		1		0		0		0		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal	9.28%		0%		0%		0.52%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
Importance																
count of respondents		18		0		0		1		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)		18		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		1		0		0		0		0
Hollow Water First Nation																
Summary																
total	36		5		0		0		0		8		9		0	
% of total																
average	18		5		0		0		0		8		5		0	
min	18		5		0		0		0		8		4		0	
max	18		5		0		0		0		8		5		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	2		1		0		0		0		1		2		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal	1.03%		0.52%		0%		0%		0%		0.52%		1.03%		0%	
Importance																
count of respondents		1		1		0		0		0		1		2		0
very significant (7-10)		0		0		0		0		0		1		2		0
significant (4-6)		1		1		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
All Communities																
Summary																
total	2233		132		105		25		29		20		39		0	
% of total																
average	35		12		15		8		6		5		13		0	
min	2		2		2		6		4		4		4		0	
max	300		75		50		10		8		8		30		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	63		11		7		3		5		4		3		0	
% of respondents trapping this animal	32.47%		5.67%		3.61%		1.55%		2.58%		2.06%		1.55%		0%	
Importance																
count of respondents		36		8		4		2		1		4		4		0
very significant (7-10)		12		7		4		1		0		3		4		0
significant (4-6)		22		1		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)		1		0		0		1		1		1		0		0

To be continued

Table 6.5B: Summary of Large and Small Game Hunting by Communities within the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Bush Chicken	Imp	Mallards	Imp	Wolf	Imp	Sable	Imp	Deer	Imp	Chicken	Imp	Partridge	Imp	Spieces	Imp
Poplar River First Nation																
Summary																
total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
min	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
max	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of respondentns trapping this anima	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
Importance																
count of respondents		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not finacially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Berens River First Nation																
Summary																
total	0		12		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	0		12		0		0		0		0		0		0	
min	0		12		0		0		0		0		0		0	
max	0		12		0		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of respondentns trapping this anima	0%		0.52%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
Importance																
count of respondents		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not finacially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Bloodvein First Nation																
Summary																
total	2		2		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	2		2		0		0		0		0		0		0	
min	2		2		0		0		0		0		0		0	
max	2		2		0		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this anima	1		1		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of respondentns trapping this anima	0.52%		0.52%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	
Importance																
count of respondents		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not finacially significant (1-3)		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Little Grand Rapids First Nation																
Summary																
total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
% of total																
average	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
min	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
max	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
# of respondents trapping this animal	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	

To be continued



Survey Results for Hunting Waterfowl Activity

Table 6.5C: Summary of Waterfowl Hunting Activities by Community in the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Number Hunting Waterfowl	"Geese"	Imp	Canada Goose	Imp	"Ducks"	Imp	Mallard	Imp	Ducks (overall)	Imp	Grouse	Imp	Swan	Imp	Partridge	Imp	Bush Chicken	Imp	Prairie Chicken	Imp	Fall Ducks	Imp	Partridge	Imp	
Poplar River First Nation																										
Summary	42																									
total	383			0		529		0		0		152		252		0		0		0		0		0		0
% of total	21.65%																									
average	11			0		14		0		0		14		25		0		0		0		0		0		0
min	1			0		1		0		0		2		1		0		0		0		0		0		0
max	80			0		80		0		0		50		200		0		0		0		0		0		0
# of respondents trapping this animal	36			0		37		0		0		11		10		0		0		0		0		0		0
% of respondents trapping this animal	18.56%			0%		19.07%		0%		0%		5.67%		5.15%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%
Importance																										
count of respondents				0		0		0		0		1		1		1		0		0		1		1		1
very significant (7-10)				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)				0		0		0		0		1		1		1		0		0		1		1		1
not financially significant (1-3)				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Berens River First Nation																										
Summary	9																									
total	183			0		123		12		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
% of total	4.64%																									
average	31			0		41		12		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
min	12			0		24		12		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
max	75			0		75		12		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
# of respondents trapping this animal	6			0		3		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
% of respondents trapping this animal	3.09%			0%		1.55%		0.52%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%
Importance																										
count of respondents				2		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)				2		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Bloodvein First Nation																										
Summary	24																									
total	168			0		163		19		20		0		0		22		1		17		0		0		0
% of total	12.37%																									
average	11			0		13		6		20		0		0		11		1		9		0		0		0
min	2			0		2		4		20		0		0		2		1		5		0		0		0
max	25			0		22		10		20		0		0		20		1		12		0		0		0
# of respondents trapping this animal	15			0		13		3		1		0		0		2		1		2		0		0		0
% of respondents trapping this animal	7.73%			0%		6.70%		1.55%		0.52%		0%		0%		1.03%		0.52%		1.03%		0%		0%		0%
Importance																										
count of respondents				7		0		7		0		0		0		0		2		0		0		0		0
very significant (7-10)				7		0		6		0		0		0		2		0		0		0		0		0
significant (4-6)				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
not financially significant (1-3)				0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Little Grand Rapids First Nation																										
Summary	29																									
total	224			0		452		10		0		45		0		0		0		0		0		0		8
% of total	14.95%																									
average	14			0		27		10		0		45		0		0		0		0		0		0		8
min	3			0		7		10		0		45		0		0		0		0		0		0		8
max	50			0		60		10		0		45		0		0		0		0		0		0		8
# of respondents trapping this animal	16			0		17		1		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		1

To be continued



Survey Results for Fishing Activity



Survey Results for General Wildlife

Table 6.5E: Summary of Knowledge of Other Predator Animals

Community/First Nation	Knowledge of Lynx (Y)	Knowledge of Wolves (Y)	Knowledge of Bears (Y)
<i>Berens River First Nation</i>			
Summary			
Total Yes	4	3	5
% of Total Yes	2.06%	1.55%	2.58%
Total No	1	1	0
% of Total No	0.52%	0.52%	0.00%
<i>Bloodvein First Nation</i>			
Summary			
Total Yes	14	17	22
% of Total Yes	7.22%	8.76%	11.34%
Total No	15	13	8
% of Total No	7.73%	6.70%	4.12%
<i>Hollow Water First Nation</i>			
Summary			
Total Yes	18	23	22
% of Total Yes	9.28%	11.86%	11.34%
Total No	4	1	8
% of Total No	2.06%	0.52%	4.12%
<i>Little Grand Rapids First Nation</i>			
Summary			
Total Yes	4	10	10
% of Total Yes	2.06%	5.15%	5.15%
Total No	15	14	14
% of Total No	7.73%	7.22%	7.22%
<i>Pauingassi First Nation</i>			
Summary			
Total Yes	20	20	20
% of Total Yes	10.31%	10.31%	10.31%
Total No	0	0	0
% of Total No	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
<i>Poplar River First Nation</i>			
Summary			
Total Yes	37	36	36
% of Total Yes	19.07%	18.56%	18.56%
Total No	3	4	4
% of Total No	1.55%	2.06%	2.06%
<i>All Communities</i>			
Summary			
Total Yes	97	109	117
% of Total Yes	50.00%	56.19%	60.31%
Total No	38	33	26
% of Total No	19.59%	17.01%	13.40%



Survey Results for Cultural Areas

Table 6.5F: Summary of Cultural Areas by Community in the Study Area

Community/First Nation	Knowledge of Burial Grounds and Historical Settlement/Camp (Y)	Knowledge of Medicinal Areas (Y)	Knowledge of Berry Gathering Areas (Y)	Knowledge of Other areas to avoid (Y)
<i>Berens River First Nation</i>				
Summary				
Total Yes	12	9	10	0
% of Total Yes	6.19%	4.64%	5.15%	0.00%
Total No	0	5	1	6
% of Total No	0.00%	2.58%	0.52%	3.09%
<i>Bloodvein First Nation</i>				
Summary				
Total Yes	13	14	23	7
% of Total Yes	6.70%	7.22%	11.86%	3.61%
Total No	16	15	6	20
% of Total No	8.25%	7.73%	3.09%	10.31%
<i>Hollow Water First Nation</i>				
Summary				
Total Yes	20	14	20	12
% of Total Yes	10.31%	7.22%	10.31%	6.19%
Total No	7	11	8	13
% of Total No	3.61%	5.67%	4.12%	6.70%
<i>Little Grand Rapids First Nation</i>				
Summary				
Total Yes	2	4	1	0
% of Total Yes	1.03%	2.06%	0.52%	0.00%
Total No	27	23	27	26
% of Total No	13.92%	11.86%	13.92%	13.40%
<i>Paingassi First Nation</i>				
Summary				
Total Yes	0	2	2	0
% of Total Yes	0.00%	1.03%	1.03%	0.00%
Total No	18	16	18	17
% of Total No	9.28%	8.25%	9.28%	8.76%
<i>Poplar River First Nation</i>				
Summary				
Total Yes	29	21	33	4
% of Total Yes	14.95%	10.82%	17.01%	2.06%
Total No	14	21	9	39
% of Total No	7.22%	10.82%	4.64%	20.10%
<i>All Communities</i>				
Summary				
Total Yes	76	64	89	23
% of Total Yes	39.18%	32.99%	45.88%	11.86%
Total No	84	93	70	122
% of Total No	43.30%	47.94%	36.08%	62.89%



COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS



Comments of Respondents on Trapping

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Trapping Areas			
		Do you think that any of the route options will affect your traplines?	When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
POPLAR RIVER	PR1	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR2	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR4	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR5	No	None	None	N/A
	PR6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR7	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR8	No	N/A	N/A	Noise , Vibration, Exhaust
	PR9	No	Noise issue	Doesn't matter	N/A
	PR10	Not really, I don't think it will affect. Just easy access.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR11	It might affect some, but there only low trapping now.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR12	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR13	No. Trap line is in the middle and away from the area	Noisy activity.	N/A	N/A
	PR14	I don't think so, no.	This time due to noise.	N/A	N/A
	PR15	No	N/A	Main access control	N/A
	PR16	Yes, probably (Most likely) animals will leave or move away from area.	Lots of machinery and loud activity	Usage - animals getting killed on road	May affect migration routes
	PR17	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR18	No	Both		
	PR19	No	Don't know, maybe not very much (translated)	N/A	N/A
	PR20	Yes	We have to be careful	N/A	N/A
	PR21	No	N/A	N/A	Moose should be protected from big game hunters. Trophy hunters. All this needs to be regulated by province conservation unit.
	PR22	Not really, but it will benefit me.	No	No	No
	PR23	Don't know how it's like now, over there.	Probably during this time	N/A	N/A
	PR24	No	None	None	None
	PR25	Yes	Lots of noise might scare away animals. Only when they start or during construction.	N/A	N/A
	PR26	Yes, It will be my immediate area.	Animals will be scared from. All the noise and disruption of habitat / homes	More environmental impacts especially in water that flows through our community	N/A
	PR27	No, not any more. I don't think so , maybe.	During construction disturbing natural habitat (translation from Salteaux)	N/A	N/A
	PR28	Yes, it will help for easiest access	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR29	No, I don't think cause its out of the way.	N/A	Patrolling	N/A
	PR30	No	N/A	During this time, greater access to our areas	N/A
	PR31	I don't think it will much affect trapping areas as long as they keep an eye on their traplines (the owners)	N/A	N/A	Most worried about trapping if there is still any trapping?
	PR32	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR33	No, It will be far from it	During construction - some distraction of (natural habitat) where animals live	N/A	N/A
	PR34	No	both times		
	PR35	No	Both times	N/A	N/A
	PR36	No, trapline is away from route.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR37	I guess, not (translated)	Translated in cree/saulteaux. Don't know, but more moose will be seen o the road	N/A	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Trapping Areas			
		Do you think that any of the route options will affect your traplines?	When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	PR38	Yes	during construction, depends on how long it takes to build	N/A	N/A
	PR39	Yes	N/A	During operation due to increased traffic	N/A
	PR40	Yes (oh yeah)	N/A	Move activity and increased terrafic	N/A
	PR41	No, probably not. (translated)	During this time. Animals might move / run away from noise and traffic.	N/A	N/A
	PR42	Probably not, not too many trap anymore.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR43	No	Doesn't matter		
BERENS RIVER	BR1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR2	Depends where the road is coming from.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR3	Some what - time will tell, be easier to travel.	During construction.	N/A	N/A
	BR4	Easier to get there.	I guess during construction.	N/A	N/A
	BR5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR10	I don't know. I guess it depend if the road goes by my traplines.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR16	Maybe - not for long	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR17	No - but easier	Hopefully not long.	N/A	N/A
	BR18	N/A	During + after construction	Pollution + garbage by the road side. Dirty ditches lead to creeks than to rivers. The earth can't filter everything.	When the road is finished, who's going to keep the road side clean.
	BR19	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR21	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR22	NO	During construction	N/A	N/A
	BR23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR24	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR25	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR26	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR27	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR28	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR29	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR31	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR32	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR33	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR34	Not really	During working.	N/A	N/A
	BR35	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
BR36	N/A	During construction and after	N/A	N/A	
BR37	N/A	During	N/A	N/A	
BLOODVEIN	BV1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV2	Not #5. But probably the home block will be affected.	N/A	Impacts	Have a toll gate - costumes
	BV3	Not really.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV4	Yes	Yes.	Yes.	Not right now.
	BV5	No, it's too far away.	Yes.	Yes.	Hunting season.
	BV6	No.	Yes.	Yes.	None.
	BV7	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Trapping Areas				
		Do you think that any of the route options will affect your traplines?	When do you think most of the impacts will happen?			
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions	
	BV8	Yes it affects the animals and the water (beaver, muskrat) everything will be affected.	Yes.	Yes.	None.	
	BV9	No.	No.	N/A	N/A	
	BV10	Not really.	Yes.	Yes.	Most people I talk to don't want this road. There won't be an ambulance people will die before they get to hospital. Spend money on dialysis for First Nation instead of an all weather road.	
	BV11	Not sure.	No.	No.	N/A	
	BV12	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	BV13	People (trappers) will lose their supplies if there is no one watching who comes and goes.	Yes.	N/A	N/A	
	BV14	N/A	N/A	Yes.	N/A	
	BV15	No.	No	No.	No.	
	BV16	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	BV17	No I don't think so because they mostly trap where the water is.	Yes.	Yes.	It would be better if it's paved. I don't see why it shouldn't. We need to expand the reserve east and south.	
	BV18	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	BV19	No.	N/A	N/A	Agree to the "all season road" for the youth/elders.	
	BV20	No my trapline just home block and river affect #13 and #14.	N/A	N/A	We wouldn't know if the animals are contaminate by gas/oil/diesel draining into the ditch then into creeks river and the lake.	
	BV21	No.	No.	No.	No.	
	BV22	No, will not affect sasaginagak area.	Anishinabag might understand. I don't know about white people.			
	BV23	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	BV24	The animals will leave area.	No.	No.	N/A	
	BV25	No.	Yes, during the construction.	Yes, during construction.	N/A	
	BV26	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	BV27	N/A	No.	No.	No.	
	BV28	Not me.	N/A	Most impact.	Hunting season in the fall.	
	BV29	Only if other people go to the trapline should only be for family (Young).	During this time we have to monitor construction.	Something might happen if outsiders start coming around.	N/A	
	BV30	No.	Yes.	Not much.	No.	
	BV31	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	LITTLE GRAND RAPIDS	LGR1	Yes, it would disrupt hunting wildlife.	Probably construction cutting out road way.	Lots of distraction.	N/A
		LGR2	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
		LGR3	Will do something.	Damage Rivers, lakes, ponds	N/A	N/A
		LGR4	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A
		LGR5	N/A	The construction destroys land, lakes, and River.		
		LGR6	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
		LGR7	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
LGR8		I have no trapline, but it will do some damage.	Damage Forest	N/A	N/A	
LGR9		Out of area	N/A	N/A	N/A	
LGR10		No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
LGR11		No.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
LGR12		No, even though road is coming through Home Block, it shouldn't affect trapping.	It will affect wildlife feeding grounds, destroying bush	It will affect long run.	N/A	
LGR13		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Trapping Areas			
		Do you think that any of the route options will affect your traplines?	When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	LGR14	No, out of the area	No	No	No
	LGR15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR16	Out of the area	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR17	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR18	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR19	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR20	No Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR21	No/Ontario	No	No	No
	LGR22	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR23	Yes	First phase of construction	the cutting down of trees	N/A
	LGR24	N/A	Destroy wildlife, animals, will die off	N/A	N/A
	LGR25	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR26	No, out of area	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR27	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR28	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR29	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR31	Yes, it will.	Will cut off rain and???	N/A	N/A
LGR32	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	
LGR33	Yes, it will scare off wild life.	Scare off wild life.	Too many vehicles when road is done.	N/A	
PAUINGASSI	PAU1	All the routes options won't effect my trapline.	When construction take place it will scare away the wild life.	When road in use less animals will be scared away.	Have no new establishment.
	PAU2	The road option won't affect my trapline.	When clearing the route way with the heavy equipment. Blasting the rocky area's.	Less impact once road in place.	N/A
	PAU3	Not to sure, yet.	When clearing the route way for the all weather road. Blasting the rocky area's.	Once the road in place less impact should happen.	N/A
	PAU4	The route option I don't think it will affect my trapline boundary.	Most impact will happen when clearing the route way with the heavy equipment. Blasting rocky area's.	If road in place, then less impact will happen.	N/A
	PAU5	It will affect my trapline if route starts from Pauingassi towards northern communities.	Pushing down trees with heavy equipment. Blasting the rocky area's.	Less impact once road is in place.	N/A
	PAU6	The road will go through my trapline area, refer to map trapping routes indicated.	Animals will certainly move when construction take place.	Less impact will occur once the road is used.	N/A
	PAU7	The route on the map will go through on my trapline on the west side.	Agrees it with construction it will have most impacts.	Less impact will occur.	N/A
	PAU8	Route option won't effect my trapline.	Pushing tree's, clearing the route way with huge equipment. Blasting rocky area.	Once road completion less impact it will have.	N/A
	PAU9	Route option will not effect my trapline.	Heavy equipment usage. Blasting rocky area.	Less impact	N/A
	PAU10	N/A	Would say they blast and also when using heavy equipment.	The impact should be less.	N/A
	PAU11	It won't effect my trapline.	Blasting.	Not sure.	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Trapping Areas			
		Do you think that any of the route options will affect your traplines?	When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	PAU12	It will come through my trapline.	When brushing the bush and when blasting.	Completion of the all weather road than it should be less impact.	N/A
	PAU13	The map that is provided, it does not show my trapline. My trapline is way above northwest of black current.	Claring route with bull doser and when blasting.	Once road is in place less impact it will have.	N/A
	PAU14	The route will be just outside at the southside of boundry line, my trapline.	When clearing, pushing the area for route. Blasting the area.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU15	Route option won't affect my trapline. My trapline is on Ontario side.	Clearing trees with heavy equipment. Blasting rocky areas.	Completion of road, then less impact it should have.	N/A
	PAU16	Road option does not affect my trapline.	Pushing tree's with huge equipment. Blasting the rocky areas.	Once road is completed, less impact it will have.	N/A
	PAU17	All route options won't effect my trapline area.	Impact will occur when they start building the road.	Completion of the road, less impact will happen.	N/A
	PAU18	The route option won't effect my trapline.	When clearing, blasting the route way with the heavy equipment.	Less impact will happen once the all weather road is completed.	N/A
	PAU19	My trapline north of Pauingassi is about twenty-five miles.	Clearing the bush with bull doser. Blasting the rock area's	Completion of the road less impact it will have then.	N/A
	PAU20	I don't think the road option will affect my trapline.	Using heavy equipment when clearing the tree's. Blasting rocky area.	Less impact once road completion.	
	HOLLOW WATER	HW1	N/A	No Affects	No Affects
HW2		More road worries, hunting from the road, night lighting.	Yes	Yes	N/A
HW3		Yes	Throughout development into added years to come - wildlife moving out of territory, a break in food chain link	same until wildlife is accustomed to activity and operation	A break and distrupction of food chain link canNot be established or fixed in a year. All animals, plants, insect interdependent require a length of time years to regenerate to depend on each other.
HW4		No	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW5		No	During construction	N/A	N/A
HW6		No	During construction	N/A	N/A
HW7		N/A	Government guidelines may affect and take away trapline boundary	N/A	N/A
HW8		N/A	N/A	N/A	Need full monitoring of road due to access for poachers.
HW9		No	To stop poachers. Need to educate on moose hunting protocols	N/A	N/A
HW10		Yes	Yes	Mines, logging and cottages	N/A
HW11		No effect	No effect	No effect	No effect

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Trapping Areas			
		Do you think that any of the route options will affect your traplines?	When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	HW12	Yes	Clearing of right of way	N/A	N/A
	HW13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW14	No	Yes: clearing right-of-way to build road	N/A	N/A
	HW15	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW16	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW17	No. Road won't affect trapline b/c it is far away from the road	No effect	No effect	No effect
	HW18	Yes. Because road runs along trap line. Noise from traffic	Noise	Spraying of land with chemicals. This will kill animals and affect humans who consume animals (roads)	Working as trapper has affected breeding after spraying. First Nation was Not aware of spray areas.
	HW19	Yes: Logging, cutting, mine claims - without consultation, wild rice harvesting without permission.	Winter especially logging and making roads. Blocking streams, rivers without culverts	Any user must report to some station before taking any resource from Anishiwabe Territory	Consultation - And have a business plan of how this area is going to be looked after.
	HW20	Yes: because the highway is approximately one kilometer from the lake on home block. Therefore I am limited to trapping closest to the lake.	With any construction at all, it will deplete animal activity	N/A	All community trappers who trap on home block will definitely be affected by any all weather roads, specifically the Rice River Road.
	HW21	Yes: Any change in traffic will affect the animals and habitat	Here - During and after development	Logging, mining, cottage development and construction	need on-going monitoring
	HW22	Yes	I think it will bother the land when constructing	N/A	N/A
	HW23	Yes: It will affect all the land	N/A	Yes	N/A
	HW24	Yes: If it developed, it will ruin the areas.	N/A	After the road is completed, everyone will be there, hunters, lodgers	N/A
	HW25	N/A	Yes	N/A	
	HW26	N/A	Will in operation	N/A	
	HW27	I think it will disrupt the land	Yes, it will impact everything	N/A	N/A
	HW28	N/A	N/A	It will change everything like wildlife and	N/A
	HW29	Yes	N/A	Yes	I think it will benefit isolated communities the most
	HW30	No	During construction and after	Road development, mining, TEMBEN, Cottage development	N/A



Comments of Respondents on Hunting

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
POPLAR RIVER	PR1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR5	Yes, lots of noise	Traffic	N/A
	PR6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR7	Yes, noise - machinery.	N/A	N/A
	PR8	Yes	N/A	N/A
	PR9	More activity at this time.	N/A	N/A
	PR10	During this time. Machines will be loud. Animals will move but will gradually come back.	N/A	N/A
	PR11	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR12	None	None	None
	PR13	N/A	Increase traffic flow to the north where there is otherwise no noise.	N/A
	PR14	Noise might affect animals.	N/A	N/A
	PR15	None	N/A	N/A
	PR16	Animals will leave to increased activity and noise in their natural habitat. They will move.	Animals will leave to increased activity and noise in their natural habitat. They will move.	N/A
	PR17	Won't affect wildlife.	N/A	N/A
	PR18	N/A	Yes.	N/A
	PR19	Not much, I don't think. (for both)	Not much, I don't think. (for both)	N/a
	PR20	Both	Both	Usage can cause fires by human carelessness.
	PR21	Might scare them away, but new ones come back	N/A	N/A
	PR22	Minimal	Not hardly	None
	PR23	Maybe during this time.	N/A	N/A
	PR24	N/A	N/A	Don't think there will be any impact, for me personally.
	PR25	N/A	Lots of traffic	N/A
	PR26	During construction if done by season.	N/A	Not natural to habitat, animals and small game will move.
	PR27	N/A	Yes	N/A
	PR28	Yes	Yes	N/A
	PR29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR30	When it is being built. More rock blasting - noise.	N/A	N/A
	PR31	Both	N/A	N/A
	PR32	Noise + activity might scare my moose away.	N/A	N/A
	PR33	Animals will move area to area	N/A	N/A
	PR34	Don't know, depends on how long it takes.	N/A	N/A
	PR35	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR36	Don't know much. Have to think about it.	N/A	N/A
	PR37	N/A	Yes	N/A
	PR38	Yes - we have to keep watch on construction	Yes - guiding	N/A
	PR39	During construction because of activity - it will be scared away.	N/A	N/A
	PR40	During construction. Increased activity and added noise and temporary roads and clearing.	N/A	N/A
PR41	I don't know about this	N/A	N/A	
PR42	N/A	N/A	N/A	
PR43	None	None	N/A	
BERENS RIVER	BR1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR5	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR7	During construction.	N/A	Finish quick.
	BR8	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR11	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR12	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	BR13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR14	During - hurry and finish the road.	N/A	N/A
	BR15	During.	N/A	N/A
	BR16	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR17	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR18	During - after construction when the road is complete.	More truck accidents with animals. Salt from roads will attract animals.	N/A
	BR19	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR20	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR21	N/A	N/A	Need road soon.
	BR22	N/A	N/A	Some disruption maybe, but not much during construction and operation.
	BR23	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR25	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR27	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR28	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR30	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR31	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR32	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR33	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR34	During	N/A	N/A
	BR35	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR36	During construction	N/A	N/A
	BR37	During const.	N/A	N/A
BLOODVEIN	BV1	Will be affected.	(Fuel spills, traffics) Will be affected.	Well, more noise, traffics, target shooting.
	BV2	Affect.	Yes.	N/A
	BV3	During.	During.	No.
	BV4	Yes.	Yes.	No.
	BV5	No.	Yes.	N/A
	BV6	Don't know.	Don't know.	No comment.
	BV7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV8	Yes.	Yes.	Big trucks will make lots of noise. Make the animals move away.
	BV9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV10	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV11	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV12	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV13	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV14	N/A	During operation.	N/A
	BV15	None.	None.	None.
	BV16	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV17	Yes.	Yes.	Better to have a paved highway.
	BV18	No.	No.	No.
	BV19	N/A	N/A	Less hunting for hunters.
	BV20	N/A	Yes, there are going to be accidents.	White hunters (sport hunters) killing animals (moose) for sport (antlers) and leaving the carcass to rot. There will be more moose hunters (outsiders) vehicles that break down are just left there.
	BV21	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV22	N/A	N/A	No impact.
	BV23	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV25	During construction heavy machinery in area.	Risk of forest fires.	N/A
	BV26	During construction.	Not really.	N/A
	BV27	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV28	No.	No.	N/A
	BV29	During this time we have to monitor construction	Something might happen if outsiders start coming around.	N/A
	BV30	Yes.	There will be some.	No.

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	BV31	Will be affected.	Will be affected.	Fuel / oil spills, noise, traffic in general, camping sites, target shooting.
LITTLE GRAND RAPIDS	LGR1	Construction of road.	Lots of entrances from vehicles presents.	N/A
	LGR2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR5	N/A	N/A	You will notice some maps are not use, because people don't remember where they are.
	LGR6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR7	No	N/A	N/A
	LGR8	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR11	It will not affect my trapline.	N/A	N/A
	LGR12	Cutting down animal food. Brush-destroying animal food.	N/A	N/A
	LGR13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR14	No	No	No
	LGR15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR16	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR17	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR18	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR19	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR20	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR21	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR22	cause problems, blockage, river, creek, lakes	N/A	N/A
	LGR23	will affect moose routes	N/A	N/A
	LGR24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR25	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR27	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR28	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR30	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR31	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR32	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR33	N/A	N/A	N/A
PAUINGASSI	PAU1	During construction animals will be scared away	It shouldn't scare away the animals.	N/A
	PAU2	Heavy equipment usage on the route clearing. Blasting on rocky areas.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU3	Clearing, pushing tree's with heavy equipment. Blasting rocky area's	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU4	Heavy equipment operation. Blasting.	Less impact should happen once the road is completed.	N/A
	PAU5	When use of heavy equipment clearing the route way. Blasting.	Once road is completed, less impact it will happen.	N/A
	PAU6	Yes animals will move away when construction takes place	Once operation of the road less impact will occur.	N/A
	PAU7	Impact will mostly occur on construction activities.	Less impact will happen.	N/A
	PAU8	When clearing the way with the equipment.	Less impact, once road in place.	N/A
	PAU9	When clearing the area with huge machine.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU10	Heavy equipment at work and plasting.	Once the road in operation less impact should take place.	N/A
	PAU11	Blasting.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU12	Bulldozer when clearing the route way. Blasting the rocky areas.	Completion of road less impact it should have.	N/A
	PAU13	When clearing the bush with the bulldozer. Blasting the rock.	Once the road is in place than it should have less in impact.	N/A
	PAU14	Destruction on the land	Less impact once the road in place.	N/A
	PAU15	Heavy equipment usage. Blasting the area.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU16	When they clear and push trees down for the route way. Blasting rocky area.	Completion of the road, should less impact.	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	PAU17	Clearing the area - route way.	Once road is finished, then it should have no impacts.	N/A
	PAU18	Pushing trees down with heavy equipment, blasting the rocky area.	Completion of road should have less impact.	N/A
	PAU19	When clearing the tree's with the heavy equipment. Blasting the rocky area.	Less impact it will have once the road is complete.	N/A
	PAU20	Most impact will take place when they use huge equipment and when they blast.	Less impact once completion of the road.	N/A
HOLLOW WATER	HW1	No effects.	N/A	N/A
	HW2	Disrupt the areas, road crossing culverts	Traffic, more people using the roads in hunting seasons	N/A
	HW3	During activity and after	Road construction and any other development on traditional territory the road will now open to. After road development comes other gov't and industrial development.	P.A.I. needed in a lot of traditional territory. Remember traditional territory is not limited to registered trap line areas.
	HW4	Logging, mining, cottage dev.	Prov. Gov't, TEMBEC, Industry	N/A
	HW5	During construction	N/A	N/A
	HW6	During construction	N/A	N/A
	HW7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW8	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW10	Logging, mining, cottage.	N/A	N/A
	HW11	Should not affect as trapping deep in bush	N/A	N/A
	HW12	During construction	N/A	N/A
	HW13	During construction	N/A	N/A
	HW14	During road building	N/A	N/A
	HW15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW16	During road building	N/A	N/A
	HW17	No effects.	No effects.	No effects.
	HW18	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW19	Have reporting station and hire community people	Keep your equipment home. The community use their equipment for the service	Must have an east Side Plan and follow it. East Side people must be consulted on any development
	HW20	Construction of road	Increased traffic resulting in a disruption to migratory patterns	N/A
	HW21	Logging, mining, cottage	N/A	All of the above need monitoring
	HW22	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW23	N/A	Yes	N/A
	HW24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW25	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW27	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW28	Both of them	N/A	N/A
	HW29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW30	Road development, mining, cottage, Tembec, forestry operation.	N/A	During and after into the future



Comments of Respondents on Hunting Birds

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may Impact Bird Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
POPLAR RIVER	PR1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR3	Noise	N/A	N/A
	PR4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR5	Yes, lots of noise.	Traffic	N/A
	PR6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR8	Yes	N/A	N/A
	PR9	None	None	N/A
	PR10	None	N/A	N/A
	PR11	None	None	N/A
	PR12	No	No	No
	PR13	During this time. Why? Noise.	N/A	N/A
	PR14	No impact	No impact	N/A
	PR15	No affect, I think.	N/A	N/A
	PR16	Noise, increased activity.	More food destroyed (road kill)	Many will miss-use game/hunting for targets or kill them for nothing.
	PR17	Don't know.	Don't know.	N/A
	PR18	N/A	Where road is used - access to other areas.	N/A
	PR19	N/A	During usage.	N/A
	PR20	N/A	We have to watch this.	N/A
	PR21	Don't know	Don't know	Don't know
	PR22	Spring	Fall	None
	PR23	Maybe here (at this time)	N/A	N/A
	PR24	N/A	N/A	Not for me.
	PR25	N/A	Accessibility will be greater (translation)	N/A
	PR26	Noise.	Disruption.	N/A
	PR27	N/A	Just be very careful.	N/A
	PR28	Yes.	N/A	N/A
	PR29	Animals will get scared away but will come back.	N/A	N/A
	PR30	No impact on birds.	No impact on birds.	N/A
	PR31	None	None	Maybe if areas are polluted. We have to be careful for pollution. To care for the land and water.
	PR32	During construction - noises times (shake and vibrate areas)	N/A	N/A
	PR33	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR34	Depending on location and how long it will take to construct.	N/A	N/A
	PR35	None	Control with regulations on any new hunters and trappers.	N/A
	PR36	Don't know for bird hunting.	Don't know for bird hunting.	N/A
	PR37	No affect on birds.	No affect on birds	N/A
	PR38	Maybe because of activity	N/A	N/A
	PR39	During this time, it will increase activity and noise.	N/A	N/A
	PR40	During construction - clearing and temporary roads and choppers.	N/A	N/A
	PR41	N/A	Yes - Traffic	N/A
	PR42	Lots of workers and activity but more and more will still come anyway.	N/A	N/A
	PR43	None	None	N/A
BERENS RIVER	BR1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR5	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR8	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR11	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR12	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR14	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR15	N/A	N/A	N/A
BR16	N/A	N/A	N/A	
BR17	N/A	N/A	N/A	
BR18	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may Impact Bird Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	BR19	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR20	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR21	None	None	None
	BR22	Some	Some	N/A
	BR23	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR25	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR27	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR28	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR30	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR31	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR32	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR33	During	N/A	N/A
	BR34	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR35	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR36	During construction.	N/A	N/A
	BR37	During const.	N/A	N/A
BLOODVEIN	BV1	Will be affected.	Will be affected.	Fuel / oil spills; noise; traffic in general; camp sites; target shooting.
	BV2	Affect.	Yes.	N/A
	BV3	No.	No.	No.
	BV4	Yes.	Yes.	Environmental spills, toxics that spill on the land.
	BV5	No.	No.	N/A
	BV6	No effect.	No effect.	No comment
	BV7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV8	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV10	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV11	No.	N/A	N/A
	BV12	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV13	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV14	No effect.	No effect.	They'll know when they're not wanted.
	BV15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV16	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV17	No.	No.	It is already being used it doesn't affect them (birds)
	BV18	None.	N/A	N/A
	BV19	N/A	N/A	Animals will go away. Moose.
	BV20	Possible contamination	Accidents	Teenagers stealing vehicles. Winter travel must be monitored.
	BV21	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV22	N/A	N/A	No impact.
	BV23	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV24	No.	No.	N/A
	BV25	During the construction machinery and gravel.	None.	N/A
BV26	Not effected at all.	N/A	N/A	
BV27	N/A	N/A	N/A	
BV28	None.	Spring and Fall.	The government will not give any money to get these things happening.	
BV29	Depends if they have an oil spill.	Look after the land.	N/A	
BV30	Yes.	Somewhat	No.	
BV31	Will be affecting.	Will be affected.	Fuel / oil spills; noise; traffic in general; camp sites; target shooting.	
LITTLE GRAND RAPIDS	LGR1	After construction, people fueling garbage.	Too much traffic.	N/A
	LGR2	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR5	It won't affect migratory birds because they fly.	N/A	We hunt geese and ducks anywhere.
	LGR6	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR8	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR11	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may Impact Bird Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	LGR12	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR14	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR16	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR17	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR18	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR19	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR20	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR21	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR22	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR23	upon construction	N/A	N/A
	LGR24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR25	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR26	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR27	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR28	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR30	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR31	N/A	N/A	N/A
LGR32	N/A	N/A	N/A	
LGR33	N/A	N/A	N/A	
PAUINGASSI	PAU1	Might scare away the animals.	Shouldn't scare away the animals.	N/A
	PAU2	Using the huge equipment when clearing the route way. Blasting.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU3	Use of heavy equipment. Blasting.	Once road in place, less impact should happen.	N/A
	PAU4	Clearing the area might damage common grounds for the animals also nesting area for the birds.	Once road in place, less impact will occur.	N/A
	PAU5	Construction stage it will certainly destroy nesting areas.	Completion of road, less impact will happen.	N/A
	PAU6	Animals will move away when construction takes place.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU7	I agree.	Less disturbance.	N/A
	PAU8	Huge equipment use on the land. Blasting the rocky area.	Once road is completed, less impact it will have on the animals.	N/A
	PAU9	Usage of heavy equipment. Blasting.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU10	Heavy equipment operation and blasting.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU11	Blasting.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU12	When bushing, clearing the route way.	Less impact, once road completion.	N/A
	PAU13	Blasting, clearing trees with bulldozer.	Once road in operation less impact it will have.	N/A
	PAU14	Heavy equipment operation. Blasting the rocky areas.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU15	When pushing trees down with huge equipment. Blasting.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU16	When heavy equipment destroys the common grounds for animals and the nesting area's for the birds.	Once road is completed, less impact it will have on the environment, land and water	N/A
	PAU17	When clear cutting the area - (route)	Completion, less impact.	N/A
	PAU18	When clearing the route area. Blasting the rocky area.	Less impact when completion of road.	N/A
	PAU19	Clearing the area, and when blasting.	Completion of the road less impact it have.	N/A
	PAU20	N/A	N/A	N/A
HOLLOW WATER	HW1	No Bird Hunting		
	HW2	Yes	Yes	Road building will access the areas to Non first-nation hunters. More hunters to the area.
	HW3	During and Construction	RRRA; TEMBEC; Mining	A need to identify the impact of the food chain link; HW needs trained technicians in natural resource mgnt accredited programs.
	HW4	Now and future	Mining, road, cottage and logging	N/A
	HW5	During road Construction	N/A	N/A
	HW6	During road Construction	N/A	N/A
	HW7	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW8	N/A	N/A	Not to me where birds will go or if they will be affected
	HW9	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Construction Activities that may Impact Bird Hunting Areas		
		When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
		a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	HW10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW11	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW12	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW14	During road Construction	N/A	N/A
	HW15	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW16	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW17	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW18	N/A	Chemical spraying will affect all animals	N/A
	HW19	Wild rice season and fishing camps opening fear round. Should be better controlled by community residents.	Using planes and Not experienced guides.	Consultation and having a business plan. East Side is Not just hunting and killing wildlife. It should be used as an educational area for future generation.
	HW20	Immediately	Immediately	Immediately
	HW21	During and Construction	Logging, mining and road development	N/A
	HW22	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW23	N/A	Not really	N/A
	HW24	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW25	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW26	N/A	Yes	N/A
	HW27	Yes	Yes	N/A
	HW28	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW29	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW30	During, after and into the future	Mining, cottage development, forestry activities, road development and tourism	N/A



Comments of Respondents on Fishing

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Do you think any of the route options will affect fishing spawn areas?	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Fishing Areas		
			When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
POPLAR RIVER	PR1	No, probably not (translated)	Don't know	N/A	N/A
	PR2	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR4	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR5	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR6	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR7	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR9	No	None	N/A	None
	PR10	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR11	Bridges should be belt on creeks and rivers	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR12	No	No	No	No
	PR13	Don't know any	Monitoring activity	N/A	N/A
	PR14	Maybe some (in areas with no bridges on stream and creeks)	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR15	Probably not. (translated)	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR16	Yes, less fish . They will no longer get to their areas.	Move activity, more noise	More activity	N/A
	PR17	No	Don't know	N/A	N/A
	PR18	No effect , no problems expected.	N/A	When it's in use regulate the flow of traffic + peoples	N/A
	PR19	Don't know	N/A	Watch the users of the loud	N/A
	PR20	No	N/A	Control with patrols and signage	N/A
	PR21	No, translated	Don't know	N/A	N/A
	PR22	No	Fail	N/A	N/A
	PR23	As long as they don't block rivers and creeks	N/A	Control who uses	N/A
	PR24	It will not effect, if it is away from the land.	No	N/A	N/A
	PR25	I don't think so.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR26	Yes, controled environments and maybe limites access to spawnings areas.	disurptions	animals getting killed	N/A
	PR27	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR28	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR29	No, asl long as they watch these areas.	Monitor this time	N/A	N/A
	PR30	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR31	No, I don't think so.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR32	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR33	No	Maybe here. Watch creeks and rivers.	N/A	N/A
	PR34	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR35	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR36	No	During construction as long as they don't closs off rivers and creeks	N/A	N/A
	PR37	N/A	As long as there are no blocking rivers and creeks	N/A	N/A
	PR38	I don't think so.	That rivers and creeks are not covered or closed.	N/A	N/A
	PR39	No, I don't think so, as long as it is monitored.	N/A	During this time more people will fish in our area	N/A
	PR40	No, might not.	N/A	More people will want to come to our prestine area	N/A
	PR41	No	N/A	During operation conservation officers to patrol	N/A
	PR42	As long as it is done properly, (One from poplar should work there to make sure this is done). There won't happen (impacts) if it is done right and consideration for every things.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	PR43	No , road only.	Don't know	N/A	No
BERENS RIVER	BR1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Do you think any of the route options will affect fishing spawn areas?	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Fishing Areas		
			When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	BR11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR18	N/A	Construction close to the river. Run off that lead to creek goes down to the rivers somehow, that poison the fish OR some kind of mutation happens.	N/A	N/A
	BR19	N/A	During	N/A	N/A
	BR20	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR21	No.	Finished road.	N/A	None
	BR22	No.	some	some	N/A
	BR23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BR24	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR25	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR26	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR27	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR28	N/A	During	N/A	N/A
	BR29	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR30	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR31	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR32	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR33	N/A	During	N/A	N/A
	BR34	N/A	During	N/A	N/A
	BR35	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
	BR36	N/A	During construction	N/A	N/A
	BR37	N/A	During const.	N/A	N/A
BLOODVEIN	BV1	Yes, fish will travel through possible contaminated water source.	Will be affected.	Will be affected.	Fuel / oil spills; noise; traffic in general; camp sites; target shooting
	BV2	I don't think so.	None.	None.	N/A
	BV3	No.	None.	None.	None.
	BV4	Yes.	Yes.	Yes.	No.
	BV5		N/A	N/A	Fish travel back and forth from river to the lake so that I don't know.
	BV6	N/A	Don't know.	Don't know.	No comment.
	BV7	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV8	Don't know.	Don't know.	Don't know.	Don't know.
	BV9	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV10	No.	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV11	No.	No.	N/A	N/A
	BV12	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV13	N/A	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV14	Yes, when build bridges the metal will rust and slime build up plus you'll never know what they throw in the water.	Yes.	Yes.	The fish will move away from the noise.
	BV15	None.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV16	No.	No.	N/A	No.
	BV17	No.	None.	None.	If people start throwing garbage in the river/water.
	BV18	No.	No.	No.	No.
	BV19	Less spawning.	Yes.	Yes.	N/A
	BV20	Probably not. Just down the river from the bridge crossing.	Chemicals in streams; ditches and making its way into the lake.	Garbage (cans; plastic) thrown away by road users on highway	Contamination of water.
	BV21	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV22	No.	N/A	N/A	No impact just by the river.
	BV23	No.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	BV24	N/A	No.	No.	N/A
	BV25	No.	Construction.	No.	No.
	BV26	None.	None	None.	None
	BV27	No.	No.	N/A	N/A
	BV28	No.	None.	None.	Permits for fishing.
	BV29	Depends on contamination.	Possible contamination (gas/fuel)	Keep track of people coming in to our territory.	N/A
	BV30	Don't know.	Yes.	Somewhat	No
	BV31	Yes, fish will travel through possible contaminated water source.	Will be affected.	Will be affected.	Fuel / oil spills; noise; traffic in general.

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Do you think any of the route options will affect fishing spawn areas?	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Fishing Areas		
			When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
LITTLE GRAND RAPIDS	LGR1	N/A	Might drown rivers, lakes block them.	People stepping to fish anywhere.	N/A
	LGR2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR5	It will destroy spawning area, chemicals	Blockage of rivers, Lakes, streams, where fish spawn	N/A	N/A
	LGR6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR7	Cutting trees. Blocking waterways	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR11	Cutting trees, block waterways	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR12	Probably not	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR14	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR16	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR17	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR19	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR20	White people too many	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR21	Out of area/Route wouldn't reach area	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR22	Well Spawning areas	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR24	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR25	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR26	Only if they use chemicals	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR27	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR28	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR29	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR31	N/A	spoil river & lakes	N/A	N/A
	LGR32	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
	LGR33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PAUINGASSI	PAU1	Fish might not spawn that much.	Certainly it will scare away the fish.	Once the road is finished it shouldn't affect the spawning that much.	N/A
	PAU2	Fish will move to different area.	Bridging the rivers, streams.	Less impact once road in place.	N/A
	PAU3	The fish will move to new area.	Major impact will happen when bridging the rivers, streams.	River bridges in place should have less impact.	N/A
	PAU4	What can happen is fish will move to another area.	When bridging the rivers, streams.	Once bridges are done on rivers, streams, then less impact will happen.	N/A
	PAU5	If route option destroys spawning area, then likely the fish will move to another area.	When bridging the rivers, streams.	Completion of bridges on rivers, streams, less impact should happen.	N/A
	PAU6	Not sure.	Fish will move when construction takes place.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU7	If route disrupts spawning area the fish will move away from there.	It will have impact when construction takes place. Certainly on construction time.	Impact should be less. Less disturbance.	N/A
	PAU8	Fish might move.	When building bridges on rivers, streams. Huge equipment use. Blasting.	Once completion of road, less impact it should have on the animals.	N/A
	PAU9	N/A	When clearing, pushing trees the route way. Blasting.	N/A	N/A
	PAU10	The route option comes from west, I fish mostly on the east side.	Operating of the heavy equipment and blasting. Bridge building.	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU11	Fish might move to new location	Blasting.	Less impact.	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Do you think any of the route options will affect fishing spawn areas?	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Fishing Areas		
			When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	PAU12	Fish might move, relocate.	When clearing the route way. When building bridges on rivers, streams.	Completion of road, less impact it have.	N/A
	PAU13	Fish might move.	When building bridges on rivers, streams.	Less impact once bridges in place.	N/A
	PAU14	Fish spawn near the waterfalls.	Building bridges on rivers, streams. Road building process.	Once road in place, less impact will happen	N/A
	PAU15	Fish will move to another area.	Heavy equipment usage. Blasting. Bridge building on rivers, streams.	Less impact, once the road is completed.	N/A
	PAU16	If route development destroys spawning area, concern is fish will move to another area.	When they build the bridges on rivers, streams.	Once the bridges are finished less impact will happen.	N/A
	PAU17	Fish might move, to relocate.	Rivers, streams will be destroyed when building bridge's. Building the road, clearing the tree's blasting rock area.	Less impact once road is completed.	N/A
	PAU18	The route option hits the spawning area then the fish would move to relocate.	When bridges are built on rivers and streams	Less impact.	N/A
	PAU19	The fish will certainly move to relocate.	When building bridges on rivers, streams.	Once completion of road less impact it will have.	N/A
	PAU20	Fish might disappear, or move to new area.	When building bridge's on rivers, streams. Fish disturbance will occur when work is done on rivers, stream.	Once road is completed less impact will occur.	N/A
	HOLLOW WATER	HW1	Not a fisherman		
HW2		Area 2 Rice River Area - Bridge disrupt river beds. Area 3 Wanipigow River - tanks spills - dangerous goods. Area 4 split rock creek - flowin ginto river system.	Yes	Yes	Fish spawning is sensitive to chemical spills. It will kill fish when it happens. Gas - Other dangerous goods
HW3		Depends on bridge structures and tembecs.	During study and development and after	RRRA; TEMBEC; Mining; Cottage Road.	FN must play in co-management and partnership roles as well as managing.
HW4		Yes.	Now and future	Mines, logging, Cottage dev. and Road	N/A
HW5		No.	N/A	N/A	Easy access when road is complete
HW6		No.	During construction	N/A	Easy access when road is complete
HW7		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW8		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW9		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW10		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW11		N/A	No effects	N/A	N/A
HW12		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW13		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW14		No.	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW15		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW16		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW17		No.	No effects	No effects	No effects
HW18		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
HW19		N/A	Winter road making blocking streams, rivers, logging etc.	Need to use experienced fishermen to locate those areas and protect them. Mines, logging spill of waste used by these development. Somebody has to monitor the companies.	Consultation like commercial fishermen manage the fishery and habitats and when to fish. Do proper monitoring - Reporting.
HW20		Don't know.	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Do you think any of the route options will affect fishing spawn areas?	Comments on Construction Activities that may impact Fishing Areas		
			When do you think most of the impacts will happen?		
			a. Construction activities	b. Operation	c. Other Comments/Opinions
	HW21	Whenever theres development there is impacts on everything disruption of food chain link.	Now and future	Logging, mining and road development	N/A
	HW22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW23	Yes.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HW24	Yes.	N/A	Yes	N/A
	HW25	Yes.	N/A	Yes	N/A
	HW26	Yes in some areas.	Both of them	N/A	N/A
	HW27	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes
	HW28	Yes.	N/A	Both of them	N/A
	HW29	N/A	Water table contamination	Same	Yes
	HW30	Yes.	During, after and future	Mines, cottages, forestry, road development and tourism	N/A



Comments of Respondents on Other Important Issues

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Other Important Issues	
		Do you believe that the new road will affect your access or access by others to your areas of interest/traditional areas? If yes, how (benefits and disadvantages).	Is there any other information you would like to share with us that will help us to understand how the new road might affect you , your community or the surrounding environment?
POPLAR RIVER	PR1	No	No, no other motor!
	PR2	No	No
	PR3	N/A	N/A
	PR4	Yes, Cars, Boats	A new road will have a positive effect on me.
	PR5	Easy access to hunting grounds . Don't think so.	No information
	PR6	No	N/A
	PR7	Don't think so	No comment
	PR8	Yes, traffic	Flooding, disrupting beavers and dams water ways creeks in land lakes, Forest fires peat moss.
	PR9	No	No, I think it will benefit the community with the prices of food.
	PR10	No, not really	Cheaper costs for fuel + food and pavement on reserve.
	PR11	I don't know, today when trapping is open , noboddy trap anyway.	Maybe it will be better, food will be cheaper. Flour 20 lbs is about \$36.00
	PR12	No will not effect access to areas of interest.	No negative impacts rather positive Impact for community of poplar river in terms of access To WPG where all supplies are hauled from. Build road already yesterday.
	PR13	I don't think so, benefits are or will be greater, I think.	It will be good - Cheaper food , gas , lumber , access. Finally costs are high 4 L milk \$12.99
	PR14	Easier for many to get to certain areas- hunting/trapping areas	Need a new road , flight costs are too high. Food and other items are too high.
	PR15	No, I guess not.	No info to show (Build the damn thing)
	PR16	N/A	N/A
	PR17	No, I don't think so.	I think it wil be good. Might not see the road, but for grand kids, it will benefit the next generation.
	PR18	No	No
	PR19	No, not really	No, I don't know.
	PR20	No, It will even improve it	We will be able to go by vehicle. If the road is benefit, it will be good I think.
	PR21	No, I don't think so.	Young people will live definitely in the future , so the road will be a benefit to them . Road is a good thing ; although, I might not see it. I'm 79. I'll be glad to see the road.
	PR22	N/A	N/A
	PR23	No	No
	PR24	No, I don't think so.	No, I don't think so as long as it's done right / properly.
	PR25	No	No, nothing , I think.
	PR26	Yes, maybe its animals	It will change everything
	PR27	No	I don't know if it will work to benefit the community
	PR28	No, probably not. (translated)	No
	PR29	Not unlls there are clearing and temporary routs for 4 wheel ATV'S. There will be too many into certain areas.	Nothing much, just go on with it.
	PR30	No.	No, wasn't affect anything. We need the road. Infation is too high. Need access to the outsides.
	PR31	No, as we watch ? coming and going.	This is a good thing. I long to see this day. To have this all weather road.
	PR32	No	It would be nice to have road to south and other communities.
	PR33	Don't know, only when we get it or experience it.	No, as long as it is done right and nothing os destroyed.
	PR34	It shouldn't as long as its controled and some one is watching the areas.	Transportation Costs will go down - easier access for other communities - cost of living will go down - maybe better houses
	PR35	No	No
	PR36	No, Road will be away from my trap area.	Cost of food will go down , easier to get south, price of gass will go down
	PR37	No road will benefit the community, cheaper food.	maybe more animals will come , coyote, lynx, moose, caribou, wolves as I hear that is happening during the winter road season on the winter road.
	PR38	It will later on, the affect is positive in a way where food will be cheaper, but it effect trapping.	I don't know what to say about this. If will be a good thing for all season road for more trap for the community. It may affcet trapping we have how to stand watch.
	PR39	Yes, there will be easier and faster access to get to trap lines and hunting areas.	Lots of distraction and loss of old ways. Medicinal knowledges destroyed trapping areas.
	PR40	Yes, It will be bad for our Young people/ animals we trap and use.	No, not really. Just the negative impact from something new in our lives in our area.
	PR41	No	No

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Other Important Issues	
		Do you believe that the new road will affect your access or access by others to your areas of interest/traditional areas? If yes, how (benefits and disadvantages).	Is there any other information you would like to share with us that will help us to understand how the new road might affect you , your community or the surrounding environment?
	PR42	Move access will bring more trappers and hunters	It will be better , I think, our potatoes at the how for 10 lbs is \$22.00 Maybe food will be cheaper
	PR43	Not that I can see.	The price of food might go down because there will no food flowm in
BERENS RIVER	BR1	Yes. Food and groceries hope it will be cheaper.	No, not much. Make sure RCMP drive the roads more often.
	BR2	N/A	N/A
	BR3	N/A	N/A
	BR4	N/A	N/A
	BR5	No.	No.
	BR6	No.	No.
	BR7	Yes - cheaper food - freight. Don't have to rely on planes. Disadvantage - bootlegger - drug dealers	No.
	BR8	Yes - freight.	No.
	BR9	No.	No.
	BR10	No.	No.
	BR11	No.	No.
	BR12	No.	No.
	BR13	No.	No.
	BR14	Yes - Freight - cher food - gas. Dis - bootlegger - drug dealer.	No.
	BR15	N/A	N/A
	BR16	N/A	N/A
	BR17	N/A	N/A
	BR18	N/A	N/A
	BR19	N/A	N/A
	BR20	N/A	N/A
	BR21	No.	Lost lands (loosing land). More outside hunters, fishermen, trappers, etc.
	BR22	N/A	N/A
	BR23	N/A	N/A
	BR24	N/A	N/A
	BR25	N/A	N/A
	BR26	N/A	N/A
	BR27	N/A	N/A
	BR28	N/A	N/A
	BR29	N/A	N/A
	BR30	N/A	N/A
	BR31	N/A	N/A
	BR32	N/A	N/A
	BR33	N/A	N/A
	BR34	N/A	N/A
	BR35	N/A	N/A
	BR36	N/A	N/A
	BR37	N/A	N/A
POPLAR RIVER	BV1	Yes, hunting grounds. Desicration of traditional/cultural grounds.	The most important is to ensure that
	BV2	Yes, more access to the river by trails off the road.	Keep are young people clean; away from gang life.
	BV3	No.	No.
	BV4	Yes, disadvantages construction activities, archeologists (artifacts_ that belong to us First Nations.	Easy access to destination and other resources, medical shopping, and family.
	BV5	If there is a road it will be nice.	Sometimes it will help people to get out. Easier to travel by road instead of plane or boat.
	BV6	No.	Helpful - weather wouldn't be a factor for travel.
	BV7	No.	Big advantage, and to go visiting relatives, shopping out at a town. Advantage to take patients for their appointments in Wpg like a day trip.
	BV8	No. (Good for the store. Go shopping down south).	No.
	BV9	No.	Not really agree about "all season road." Wishes the chief/councillors to think of a plan to prevent trouble and accidents from happening.
	BV10	Yes to check out the territory.	No comment.
	BV11	No.	No. Like the road going through for visiting my relatives and shopping. Medical appointment day trip.
	BV12	No.	To travel and visit family. Shopping. Not really agree for youth because they need diciplin. He only wanted to share his concerns about the "all season road."
	BV13	Good.	Safe travelling in bad weather.

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Other Important Issues		
		Do you believe that the new road will affect your access or access by others to your areas of interest/traditional areas? If yes, how (benefits and disadvantages).	Is there any other information you would like to share with us that will help us to understand how the new road might affect you , your community or the surrounding environment?	
	BV14	To long through the bush, easier crossing the lake.	Have community members set up stations for phones/cell phones in case emergencies (medical).	
	BV15	No.	I like the new road coming on to the reserve. Easy access to desination where I'm going.	
	BV16	No.	Like the road construction air fare, and trip are too expensive. Medical will be very helpful for a day trip. Go shopping easy access. More road use for drivers on the highway.	
	BV17	No.	We'll have supplies with easier access and plus their might be more jobs for people. Land title office will that there is a reserve in the Loon Straits area.	
	BV18	No.	I like the road coming for visitng relatives. Access to other reserves. To go shopping medical appointment for a day trip.	
	BV19	No.	Like the idea for the road for youth and children.	
	BV20	People building the road will waste lots of trees. First Nations use trees for firewood and to build cabins they take only what they need.	They were supposed to explain the whole story as to what they are going to do. So everybody will know what they are doing. In winter It will be dangerous for auto thefts and vehicles breaking down in the winter. White people is going to destroy all medicines when they build the road. Good for travelling for cheaper groceries and emergencies will be quickly transported.	
	BV21	No.	Good idea for medical and transportation for patients appointments. RCMP patrol the road. To go see my family in different places, easy access to destination.	
	BV22	It will be good. I don't have cross the lake; Planes don't fly in bad weather.	There will be more drug dealers; Emergency travel much quicker in time of bad weather.	
	BV23	No.	Like the road to go through, go visiting relatives and shopping. To go sight seeing some day.	
	BV24	Beneficial, don't have to travel the lake in bad weather.	That's it.	
	BV25	No.	The road will give us easier access to the other communities that are around us - as well as Winnipeg.	
	BV26	N/A	N/A	
	BV27	No.	Good idea for road coming up for visiting relatives. And to go shopping. For youth to explore out the community. For medical transport, for patients for a day appointment.	
	BV28	No.	No.	
	BV29	Good for travelling don't have to worry about bad weather. Nothing bad will come of it.	More people from other places will come in and kill animals. We need people from here to look after the territory. I don't want just anybody to come in and kill anything. We have to be satisfied to travel freely.	
	BV30	Yes. Tourism - eco-tourism. Ec. Dev. Opportunities for membership.	Benefits: Freight costs / prices will go down again, ec. Dev. Opportunities for membership 1st Nations / members given 1st opportunity to develop economically other than urbanites.	
	BV31	Yes. Advantages - participation / observing. Disadvantages - desicration of traditional / cultural grounds.	The most importants is to ensure that there must be control in all aspects in order to <u>preserve</u> what is ours to share.	
	LITTLE GRAND RAPIDS	LGR1	Yes, there will be too many white people taking advantage of easy access.	We don't want road.
		LGR2	nothing	Less process, Food
LGR3		white people will probably love, but we hope they'll try to preserve land.	N/A	
LGR4		No, wouldn't affect community.	wouldn't destroy land	
LGR5		Access is too easy, people will build cabin Too much activity from outside lots of alcohol & drug	Easier access to city. Freight wouldn't be too expensive. Wouldn't need airplane.	
LGR6		it hard to say what's going to happen. Don't want white people taking traplines. No cabins.	won't say nothing	
LGR7		white people will comeBuild cabins outpost lamps	Damage Forest Alcohol and Drugs	
LGR8		white people will always come and fish out our lakes.	MNR & RCMP will enforce better laws to keep out outsiders.	
LGR9		No	No	
LGR10		It might be long as it doesn't interfere with trapline.	N/A	
LGR11		too many white people, too many youths would steel vehicles. Too much Alchohol and drugs, gangs.	Cabins will be build all over. They would trap, kill off fish. Accidents. The road is not right.	

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Other Important Issues	
		Do you believe that the new road will affect your access or access by others to your areas of interest/traditional areas? If yes, how (benefits and disadvantages).	Is there any other information you would like to share with us that will help us to understand how the new road might affect you , your community or the surrounding environment?
	LGR12	no, it will not.	N/A
	LGR13	No comments	No comments.
	LGR14	No, the areas of special interest are not located near the road routes.	Road will be good to decrease cost of living. Air plane transportation is so expensive. Road will be good for community house.
	LGR15	N/A	N/A
	LGR16	Don't know	Scared that white people will take advantage try to make cabins + out camps
	LGR17	nothing	nothing
	LGR18	nothing,good idea, easiest access to the city.	N/A
	LGR19	N/A	too much outsider will kill off wildlife, fish out lakes. Better enforcement.
	LGR20	white man will come, it will destroy our traditional area. Have to pay to go to the trapline.	Accident, more alcohol drugs
	LGR21	No	No
	LGR22	Too white people, build cabin. Hunters in fall, fisherman in summer. The only that will bring is cheaper freight, flight.	Lots of alcohol, drugs. Young people leaving without permission. Outside people will come to community.
	LGR23	too many white people will come. Too easy access to city.	more control more searches by cops.
	LGR24	The white people will come destroy land, harvest the lakes, fish out lakes too. Many American will come.	Hunting will increase lots of accidents due to alcohol and drugs. It will distract wild life and our people will be affected by this. Build outpost along the road.
	LGR25	Wouldn't be good to have all weather road. Too many whiteman will come. Build cabins along the road. Too many accidents due to alcohol.	Would damage wildlife. Damage land & forest, rivers & lakes. Police to patrol road, natural resources to also patrol wild life. Fish would be destroyed. Special gathering, shaking tents gathered across.
	LGR26	white people will try to take trapline.	too much alcohol/drugs.
	LGR27	Yes, it will affect everything. Most of the people don't really know where traditional grounds are. So I think it should be left alone and not bothered.	First of all there is nothing wrong with the way it is right now. I don't want a road here because we're doing OK without it. Too many Americans white people will be moving alongside of this road. They'll be building cottages all over out camps, houses too many white people, will take over, our people will suffer. Alcohol & drugs will come, violence towards our people & white people. It is not a good idea to build a road.
	LGR28	Yes, it will make the aforementioned areas more accessible and therefore more vulnerable to pollution and possible vandalism. These areas should be protected.	while the road will make it easier to get cheaper food and transportaion, it will also open up our lake to invasive species that might come in on boats and what not, and will obviously affect our own wildlife with detrimental affects.
	LGR29	N/A	white man is going to take over: logging, fishing, hunting camps, too many deaths, lots of alcohol.
	LGR30	white man will take over everything: logging, fishing camps, hunting, Bring alcohol-too many deaths.	Young people will walk on the road going south in the summer and winter--deaths. Too many white people taking over.
	LGR31	more activity from white people, less animals. Building of cabins by whites taking over our traplines.	N/A
LGR32	good to have road.	No	
LGR33	out of area.	too many white people.	
PAUINGASSI	PAU1	other like non aboriginal people will come toe xplore our traditional territory it is a great concern for me.	Have no say on cown land, but like to see all weather road built.
	PAU2	Want to continue to access my trapline. Hope others won't access my trapline.	Avoid huge development when the road comes throught.
	PAU3	Should able to access my trapline. Keep away others.	Once road in place, avoid major development.
	PAU4	Will able to access my trapline. Wouldn't want to see others to come to my trapline.	If road does happen and if new development happens, then it will destroy more land.
	PAU5	Will continue to access my trapline. Don't want others to access my trapline.	Say no to major development if road come's.
	PAU6	I'm very concerned because its gonna be a public road.	Concern is non-aboriginal will come to hunt or trap in our area.
	PAU7	Just hope that we will be consulted before some sort of development take's place.	No comments.
	PAU8	Don't want anybody from outside to access my trapline.	No huge development once the road is in place.
	PAU9	Would appreciate if others wouldn't able to access my trapline.	Once the road is in place, make sure there are no huge development that would destroy the land, the water.

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Other Important Issues	
		Do you believe that the new road will affect your access or access by others to your areas of interest/traditional areas? If yes, how (benefits and disadvantages).	Is there any other information you would like to share with us that will help us to understand how the new road might affect you , your community or the surrounding environment?
	PAU10	My concern is that for non aboriginals will explore the area.	General comment, we as aboriginal people we have no say when ti come to crown land.
	PAU11	My concern is that others might use my area.	Provide workshop's about the building the road.
	PAU12	Still will access my trapline. Very concerned others might access my trapline.	My concern is that new development might occur and it would destroy the traditional area.
	PAU13	Would able to go to my trapline. Concerned others might access my trapline.	New road will destroy small portion some resources.
	PAU14	Strongly hope that others will not come to my trapline.	When the is completed, make sure no major developments take place in our traplines or in our traditional territories.
	PAU15	Would like to keep my traditional territories for my own use and future generation to come.	If all weather road comes toour area, just make sure no major development.
	PAU16	Should the all weather road built and completed. Will continue to access my trapline. Wouldn't to allow the others toc ome to my trapline.	Once the all weather road in place avoid huge major development. Because some industries development destroys land, water and pollute's air.
	PAU17	The route option will not effect my trapline.	Say no to major development.
	PAU18	It won't effect my route to trapline.	We just have to make sure that no huge industrial development doesn't take place.
	PAU19	It won't effect my route to access my traditional territories.	New road, just hope it doesn't open the non-aboriginal people to establish new development.
	PAU20	would like to continue accessing my trapline. Just hop eothers won't come to my trapline.	Once completion of the all weather road. Strongly hope there won't be any major project, development.
HOLLOW WATER	HW1	N/A	N/A
	HW2	Yes: Better road, more people using the road, poaching and night hunting, speeding, gas and oil spills	New road bring in more cottage developments, more people using the area. First Nation Treaty right being set aside to build the road, more government legislation. First nation not part of development, economic development for only non-First Nation, First nation only doing menial work - cheap labour, sign holder, go fors - etc. Forestry companies trying to access more Traditional land from First Nations without any benefits to the local people. From the Rice River turn off due north to saunder Arch area was used by the people of HWFN. The road site proposed is only a fraction of our peoples traditional lands. The was and is being used in conjunction with the season - Summer - being medicinal picky, Fall - being big game hunting, waterfowl, ducks, geese and harvesting of wild berry, winter trapping of fur being animals. Many people from HWFN still use the ...and river for traditonal purpose and as such - care and responsibility should be taken when the upgradingof the road starts. Treaty rights for the people of the areas should be honoured.
	HW3	Yes: Traditional hunting area downsized; loss of wildlife habitat - move further away.	Need to know if gov't and industry know the importance of food chain link. Haven't read anything or mention of this to date. The interdependency of chain link. Forest carbon dioxide realease.
	HW4	Yes	We need own conservation officers and technicians locally to monitor and protect traditional territory.
	HW5	Yes: More sport fishing activity	No
	HW6	Yes: More sport fishing activity	No
	HW7	No	N/A
	HW8	N/A	N/A
	HW9	N/A	N/A
	HW10	Yes	Need own conservation officers, trained technicians to protect and monitor traditional territory
	HW11	N/A	N/A
	HW12	No	No
	HW13	No	No
	HW14	No	No
	HW15	N/A	N/A
	HW16	No	No
	HW17	NO. The Rice River Road is already there.	The road will not affect him because the Rice River Road is already there.
	HW18	N/A	Spraying affects plants and animals

Community/First Nation	Respondent	Comments on Other Important Issues	
		Do you believe that the new road will affect your access or access by others to your areas of interest/traditional areas? If yes, how (benefits and disadvantages).	Is there any other information you would like to share with us that will help us to understand how the new road might affect you , your community or the surrounding environment?
	HW19	No: But will bring more unwanted people to exploit your resources and pollute the area especially water etc.	I understand that this survey was supposed to be done consultants themselves - not hired people or drop information to fill it yourself. This is not consultation. Any survey taken, people should be given copies. I want one.
	HW20	Yes: The access by others will greatly affect my trapping and hunting area.	I trap on the East Shore of lake Winnipeg South basin Home Block and I feel that I would be greatly impacted along with other trappers with registered trap lines. In this specific area, I believe the road will drive the animals and fur bearers further East of all weather road eliminating East Shore home block altogether. Trapping has been a family tradition and is an area that few families continue to practice. This traditional lifestyle will most definitely be greatly affected by an all weather road.
	HW21	Possible oil spills and other environmental impacts due to increased travel in, around and on the areas indentified.	More information on carbon release from boreal forest
	HW22	No: Not really	Not really
	HW23	Yes	N/A
	HW24	Yes	I will like to see comanagement with Hollow Water First nation and government.
	HW25	Yes	Long term effect: The influence of people on the area, movement in the area, trailing by car or boats, sewage systems.
	HW26	Yes	It will affect the eco system of wildlife, land, traditional ways of our people.
	HW27	Yes	It will provide more excusable things for the people up North
	HW28	The way that the animals will gone	No comment
	HW29	Responsible use of the area would need to be enhanced and bilateral knowledge need to be shared with main stream society so that they too would protect the area.	The social ill effects would include access to these communities by drug dealers, boot loggers etc. A closer look at social and environmental impacts on these communities needs to be considered.
	HW30	Yes: Where road development occurs comes other development - will destroy habitat, break food chain link, increase potential of oil spills and tourists, increase of fire starts, loss of traditional hunting territory and treaty rights to hunt, fish and trap. Cottage does the same, all development.	HW needs technicians trained, accredited, recognized staff to work on these issues to protect what is left.